



Regulations of the Board of Examiners

(Rules & Guidelines pursuant to Section 7.12 (b)(3) of the Higher Education and Research Act)

Regulations of the Board of Examiners adopted by the Board of Examiners for the Graduate School of Geosciences at Utrecht University, on 13 May 2020.

Valid from September 1, 2020

Preamble

The Board of Examiners of the Graduate School consists of a central Board of Examiners and three executive panels. These executive panels implement examinations policy independently, within the frameworks set by the central Board of Examiners of the Graduate School of Geosciences. The chairs of the executive panels form the central Board of Examiners of the School. The central Board of Examiners acts as a framework-setting and supervisory body. It determines examinations policy and sets the frameworks in the form of regulations and procedures. The central Board of Examiners lays down the regulations of the Board of Examiners each year. In its supervisory role it also monitors the quality of the decisions and the implementation of examinations policy by the panels. Requests to the Board of Examiners are received centrally and are then assigned by the central Board of Examiners to the executive panels.

Requests to the Board of Examiners Board are received centrally and subsequently assigned to the executive panels.

Contents:

PARAGRAPH 1 – GENERAL STIPULATIONS2

Art. 1.1 – scope of application2

Art. 1.2 – Board of Examiners2

Art. 1.3 – standards2

Art. 1.4 - examiners3

PARAGRAPH 2 – ORGANIZATION OF TESTS AND PROPER PROCEDURE.....3

Art. 2.1 – times of tests3

Art. 2.3 – order during an examination or test3

PARAGRAPH 3 – ASSESSMENT OF TESTS, THESIS4

Art. 3.1 – marking of test4

Art. 3.2 – assessment of thesis, research assignments, graduate theses.....4

Art. 3.3 – subsequent discussion4

Art. 3.4 – recording the final results5

PARAGRAPH 4 – ASSURING THE QUALITY OF EXAMINATIONS5

Art. 4.1 – assuring the quality of testing5

Art. 4.2 – determining the quality of testing5

Art. 4.3 – assuring the quality of examinations (final level of the graduates)5

Art. 4.4 – Board of Examiners’ own investigation to maintain quality of examination.....5

PARAGRAPH 5 - EXEMPTIONS, APPROVAL OF COURSE UNITS6

Art. 5.1 – exemption6

Art. 5.2 – approval of course units6

PARAGRAPH 6 – COMPLAINTS6

Art. 6.1 - Complaints about testing and marking6

Art. 6.2 - Appeal against decisions concerning testing and marking7

PARAGRAPH 7 – FINAL PROVISIONS7

Art. 7.1 – annual report7

Art. 7.2 – amendments8

Art. 7.3 – entering into force and publication8

PARAGRAPH 1 – GENERAL STIPULATIONS

Art. 1.1 – scope of application

These Regulations apply to the tests and examinations of the master study programme(s):

- Earth Structure and Dynamics
- Earth Surface and Water
- Earth, Life and Climate
- Economische geografie
- Energy Science
- Environmental Sciences
- Geo-communicatie
- Geografie: educatie en communicatie
- Geographical Information Management and Applications (GIMA)
- Human Geography
- Innovation Sciences
- International Development Studies
- Leraar voorbereidend hoger onderwijs
- Marine Sciences
- Spatial Planning
- Sustainable Business and Innovation
- Sustainable Development
- Urban and Economic Geography
- Urban Geography
- Water Science and Management

These Regulations do not apply for PhD programmes.

The terms defined in the Education and Examination Regulations of these study programmes also apply to these Regulations.

Art. 1.2 – Board of Examiners

1. The Board of Examiners will appoint a member or official secretary from its ranks who is in charge of managing the daily course of affairs of the Board of Examiners.
2. The Board of Examiners will take decisions by an ordinary majority of votes. If the votes are equal, the student or the request is rejected.
3. The chair and all members of the Board of Examiners are authorized signatories.
4. The Board of Examiners must take a decision within six weeks of receipt of an application.
5. Decisions taken by a Board of Examiners will be recorded in minutes. These minutes will be approved, at least by or on behalf of the chair.
6. The Board of Examiners will be supported in its work by an official secretary. This official secretary will not sit on the Board of Examiners. The official secretary will:
 - prepare, convene and take minutes at the meetings;
 - monitor the implementation of decisions taken;
 - communicate decisions taken to students and other interested parties;
 - draw up regular reports;
 - archive requests processed, objections and decisions taken.

Art. 1.3 – standards

In its decisions, the Board of Examiners will be guided by the following standards:

- a. the retention of quality criteria in an examination or test;
- b. efficiency requirements, expressed *inter alia* in efforts to:
 - limit as far as possible loss of time for students, who can thereby make rapid progress which their studies;

- encourage students to terminate their studies as quickly as possible, if it is unlikely that they will pass an examination or test;
- c. protecting students from themselves in the event that they wish to take on an excessive study load;
- d. leniency towards students who, through no fault of their own, have experienced delays in the progress of their studies.

Art. 1.4 - examiners

1. The Board of Examiners will appoint members of the academic staff charged with teaching a course as examiners. The Board of Examiners may furthermore appoint other members of the academic staff and experts outside the study programme as examiners. The examiners are responsible for the testing of the course.
2. The Board of Examiners may withdraw the appointment as an examiner in the event that the examiner fails to comply with the applicable legislation or regulations or guidelines of the Board of Examiners, or if the competence of the examiner concerning the making, administering or marking of tests repeatedly proves to be of insufficient quality.

PARAGRAPH 2 – ORGANIZATION OF TESTS AND PROPER PROCEDURE

Art. 2.1 – times of tests

1. Written tests are to be administered at times set by the course examiner at least 14 days before the start of the term in question.
2. In setting the times of the tests any overlap of tests must be prevented as far as possible.
3. Changes to times set may be made only in cases of force majeure.
4. If possible, oral tests are to be administered by the examiner(s) in question at a time set after consulting with the student.
5. The times of written supplementary and replacement tests will be determined and announced at least two weeks in advance. At least five working days will pass between the announcement of the results and the supplementary test.

Art. 2.2 – registration for tests

When registered correctly for a course, students are also signed up for the course test(s).

Art. 2.3 – order during an examination or test

1. The examiner will ensure that an adequate number of invigilators are appointed for the written examinations. These invigilators will ensure that the test proceeds properly.
2. The students must identify themselves on request by or on behalf of the Board of Examiners by valid proof of the student's identity. Admission to the test will be denied if students are unable to identify themselves.
3. The student must follow instructions of the Board of Examiners, or the examiner or invigilator, which are given before, during and immediately after the test.
4. Should the student fail to follow one or more instructions as referred to in Art. 2.3.3, the student may be excluded by the Board of Examiners or examiner from further participation in the test in question. As a consequence of the exclusion, no result will be determined for that test. Before the Board of Examiners takes a decision, at the student's request they must give the student the opportunity to be heard on the matter.
5. The duration of a test must be such that students reasonably have enough time to answer the questions.
6. Latecomers will be admitted to a test 30 minutes at most after the start of the test. If a student is prevented by force majeure from being present within this time limit, the Board of Examiners, or examiner, will decide whether the student can still be admitted to the test. Latecomers may not claim extra time for the test.

7. Students may not leave the room where the test is being administered within 30 minutes of the start of the test.
8. After one or more participants have left the room, no latecomers will be admitted to the test.
9. Students must hand over their bags, coats and electronic devices to the invigilators at the start of the test.

PARAGRAPH 3 – ASSESSMENT OF TESTS, THESIS

Art. 3.1 – marking of test

1. The Board of Examiners will ensure that written tests are to be marked on the basis of predetermined, written standards, possibly adjusted on the basis of a correction.
2. The weighting of the interim results in reaching the end result is laid down in the course manual.
3. If more than one examiner is involved in the marking of a test, the course coordinator must ensure that all examiners mark it on the basis of the same standards.
4. The manner of marking must be such that the student can check how the result of the test was reached.
5. With only one examiner present a recording of an oral test is made. In case of more than one examiner present, one of the examiners makes notes listing the topics that are being addressed and whether the students masters the subject(s). Recordings or notes are kept by the examiner for three months and can be viewed or listened to by the student who took the oral test.
6. If in the case of practical exercises several students contribute towards a single joint project, the following rules apply:
 - a. the guideline for the (individual or collective) marking of group work must be established beforehand by the lecturer and notified to the student;
 - b. the supervisor will regularly check that all students make a proportional contribution to the end product;
 - c. students may be marked individually on the basis of the work they have performed.
7. The last mark given will apply in assessing the result of a test/course.

Art. 3.2 – assessment of thesis, research assignments, graduate theses

1. The Board of Examiners will ensure that the assessment criteria for the master thesis, research assignments and essays/thesis are laid down and that these are included in the course or thesis manual.
2. If in the case of practical exercises several students contribute towards a single joint project, the Board of Examiners will use the following guidelines:
 - a. agreements on the division of tasks among the students who are to perform the work must be set out in writing by the examiner(s) responsible prior to the start of the work;
 - b. students will be marked individually on the basis of the work they have performed.
3. A master thesis must be assessed and marked by two examiners. If the first and second examiner cannot reach agreement, the Board of Examiners will appoint a third assessor who will give a binding final opinion.
4. The examiners will provide an explanation, using an assessment form, of the manner in which the final mark has been reached.

Art. 3.3 – subsequent discussion

1. As soon as possible after the result of an oral test has been announced, if a student so requests or on the initiative of the examiner, a subsequent discussion will be held between the examiner and the student, in which the examiner will give reasons for the decision.
2. During a period of 30 days, starting on the day after the results of a written test were made known, the student may request a discussion with the examiner. The discussion will be held at a place and time determined by the examiner.
3. If a collective discussion is organized, the student can submit a request as referred to in the second paragraph only if the student was present at the collective discussion and the student gives

reasons for that request, or if the student was prevented by force majeure from attending the collective discussion.

4. The provisions of the preceding paragraph will apply by analogy if the examiner offers the student the opportunity to compare the answers with model answers.

Art. 3.4 – recording the final results

Final results of a course unit will be entered in Osiris following authorization by the examiner.

PARAGRAPH 4 – ASSURING THE QUALITY OF EXAMINATIONS

Art. 4.1 – assuring the quality of testing

The Board of Examiners will ensure that:

- a. a testing policy/testing plan is in place, and that this is implemented;
- b. tests are compiled in line with the learning objectives and final attainment levels for the course in question;
- c. uniform agreements are made about the way in which tests are compiled.

Art. 4.2 – determining the quality of testing

1. The Assessment Committee is charged with providing analysis and advice concerning the quality of the tests. To this end, it will test the quality of individual tests on the basis of random samples – and following complaints, evaluation of results, pass rates and suchlike – in relation to the validity (they measure knowledge, skills and competences) and reliability (are they consistent and accurate) and will inform the Board of Examiners of their findings.
2. The Board of Examiners may ask the Assessment Committee to provide information, undertake research and make proposals concerning the structure of the tests. The Assessment Committee is obliged to follow these orders. The Assessment Committee is responsible to the Board of Examiners for carrying out these orders.

Art. 4.3 – assuring the quality of examinations (final level of the graduates)

The Board of Examiners will ensure that:

- a. the exit qualifications for the course as described in the Education and Examination Regulations are translated into testable learning objectives for each course;
- b. it is systematically examined whether there is a sufficient connection between the course objectives and the final attainment levels, or the sum of the learning objectives for each course corresponds to the exit qualifications for that course.

Art. 4.4 – Board of Examiners' own investigation to maintain quality of examination

1. A student has passed the examination if all parts of the examination programme have been successfully completed. Contrary to the above, the Board of Examiners may decide that in order to pass the examination the student must have complied with the requirements relating to the Board of Examiners' own investigation.
2. The Board of Examiners will only conduct such an investigation if it establishes that there are certain facts or circumstances that lead to the conclusion that the Board of Examiners cannot vouch for the student having obtained the exit qualifications for the course (as referred to in Art. 3.1 of the Education and Examination Regulations).

PARAGRAPH 5 - EXEMPTIONS, APPROVAL OF COURSE UNITS

Art. 5.1 – exemption

1. Students wishing to receive one or more exemptions, must submit a request with argumentation to the Board of Examiners. The request must be signed and contain:
 - the student's name, address and student number
 - a description of the reasons on which the exemption is being sought
 - for which course(s) the exemption is being sought
 - an authenticated copy of the student's diploma, examination results or proof of tests previously taken
 - and/or a description of the knowledge and experience the student has obtained outside of higher education, accompanied by the relevant documents showing this.
2. The Board of Examiners will submit the request for advice to the examiner(s) in charge of teaching the course(s) for which the exemption is being sought.
3. The Board of Examiners will decide within 6 weeks of the date of receipt of the request on whether the exemption will be granted. With the exception of academic vacation periods as laid down in the academic calendar and during the fieldwork period.

Art. 5.2 – approval of course units

1. Students wishing to include course units which require prior permission of the Board of Examiners on the grounds of the Education and Examination Regulations must submit a request, giving reasons, to the Board of Examiners. The request must be signed and contain:
 - the student's name, address and student number;
 - a description of the contents, level and assessment of the courses for which approval is being sought;
 - an indication of the way in which the student wishes to include the course(s) in the education programme.
2. The Board of Examiners will submit the request, if necessary, to the programme coordinator or a specialist lecturer for the course for advice.
3. The Board of Examiners will decide within 6 weeks of the date of receipt of the request. With the exception of academic vacation periods as laid down in the academic calendar and during the fieldwork period.
4. If approval concerns course units outside UU, following their completion the student will submit a certified transcript or a summary of the monitoring of student's progress.
5. Based on the certified transcript, course content description(s) and to request further substantiation by the student, the Board of Examiners grants course level and amount of ec to a master course outside the UU
6. If the course information (as referred to in art. 5.2.5) proves to be insufficient to determine level and ec, the Board of Examiners will grant 1 ec.

PARAGRAPH 6 – COMPLAINTS

Art. 6.1 - Complaints about testing and marking

1. The first point of contact for students with a complaint about testing and marking is the lecturer, who as the examiner is responsible for determining the result of the test. If there are several examiners for the course, the course coordinator is the first point of contact as the 'representative' for all examiners involved in the test (provided that the course coordinator is also an examiner). The lecturer or course coordinator will endeavour to reach a solution in an informal manner.

2. 'Testing and marking' is understood to mean all situations where there is a formal assessment moment that leads to a mark or an alphanumerical result relating to learning objectives and exit qualifications that are laid down in the Education and Examination Regulations.
3. If the quality of the test is at issue and the complaint has implications for the result of the test, the lecturer and/or course coordinator will ensure that a quality analysis is carried out to assess whether the test meets the general quality requirements as referred to in paragraph 4. In the case of wide-ranging complaints or complex issues concerning content, third parties will be consulted if necessary, such as a specialist lecturer, a testing expert or the faculty Assessment Committee. The quality analysis will be conducted as soon as possible, preferably before the test results are published.
4. If the quality analysis reveals that the test does not meet one or more quality requirements, the lecturer and/or course coordinator may decide to adjust the marks and the standard. If the final test result has already been published, the amended result may no longer be to the disadvantage of one or more students.
5. The Board of Examiners may make use of its statutory authority pursuant to Section 7.12b (1)(b) of the Higher Education and Research Act: '*to lay down guidelines and rules from within the framework of the education and examination regulations (...), to assess and establish the result of tests and examinations*'. The lecturer and/or course coordinator will observe the guidelines and rules laid down by the Board of Examiners.

Art. 6.2 - Appeal against decisions concerning testing and marking

1. Students may submit an appeal against the decisions of examiners or the Board of Examiners to the Examination Appeals Board within six weeks of publication of the mark/alphanumerical result. See <http://students.uu.nl/praktische-zaken/regelingen-en-procedures/klachten-bezwaar-en-beroep/college-van-beroep-voor-de-examens-cbe>
2. Art. 54 of the Administration and Management Regulations of UU stipulates that a formal complaint will not be dealt with if it concerns conduct against which the person involved may lodge an appeal. Since an appeal may be submitted to the Examination Appeals Board against decisions relating to testing and marking, complaints about testing will not be dealt with according to the formal complaints procedure of Utrecht University. This means that the complaints procedure described in Art. 6.1 is not formal in nature.

PARAGRAPH 7 – FINAL PROVISIONS

Art. 7.1 – annual report

1. The Board of Examiners will draw up an annual report of its activities for each academic year and will send this to the dean.
2. The annual report will contain the following parts:
 - a. composition of the Board of Examiners
 - b. monitoring of quality of the tests and examinations (final level of the graduates):
 - description of procedures and guidelines for marking and setting standards for tests; way in which it is ascertained that these are applied;
 - description of guidelines for marking and setting standards for research assignments and theses; way in which it is ascertained that these are applied;
 - way in which and number of times that the quality of the tests has been examined.
 - c. quantitative information, numbers:
 - diplomas awarded (plus number with distinction *cum laude*);
 - requests for exemption or approval;
 - requests for a special examination dispensation;
 - cases of fraud;
 - binding study advice.
 - d. recommendations

Art. 7.2 – amendments

1. Amendments to these regulations will be laid down by the Board of Examiners in a separate decision.
2. An amendment to these regulations does not relate to the current academic year, unless the interests of the students are not harmed as a result in all reasonableness.

Art. 7.3 – entering into force and publication

1. These regulations enter into force on 1 September 2020.
2. The Board of Examiners will ensure the publication of these regulations, as well as any amendment thereto, via the internet.