

Solutions Mid-Term: Inleiding Financiële Wiskunde 2018-2019

- (1) Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  satisfying  $\mathbb{E}(X_n) = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}(X_n^2) = 1$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Consider the filtration  $\mathcal{F}(0) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(1) \subseteq \dots$  with  $\mathcal{F}(0) = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$  and  $\mathcal{F}(n) = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . For

$n = 1, 2, \dots$ , let  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  and  $M_n = S_n^2 - n$ . Set  $M_0 = 0$ .

- (a) Prove that the stochastic process  $\{M_n : n = 0, 1, \dots\}$  is adapted to the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_n : n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ . (0.5 pts)
- (b) Prove that  $\{M_n : n = 0, 1, \dots\}$  is a martingale with respect to the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_n : n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ . (2 pts)
- (c) Determine the value of  $\mathbb{E}[M_n]$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . (0.5 pt)

**Proof(a):** This follows from the fact that  $S_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}(n)$ -measurable and hence so is  $M_n = S_n^2 - n$ .

**Proof(b):** First note that  $S_{n+1} = S_n + X_{n+1}$ , and  $S_{n+1}^2 = S_n^2 + 2S_n X_{n+1} + X_{n+1}^2$ . Furthermore,  $S_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}(n)$ -measurable and  $X_{n+1}$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}(n)$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[M_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}(n)] &= \mathbb{E}[S_{n+1}^2 - (n+1) | \mathcal{F}(n)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[S_n^2 + 2S_n X_{n+1} + X_{n+1}^2 | \mathcal{F}(n)] - (n+1) \\ &= S_n^2 + 2S_n \mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}] + \mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}^2] - (n+1) \\ &= S_n^2 + 1 - (n+1) \\ &= M_n. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $(M_n : n \geq 0)$  is a martingale.

**Proof(c):** Since  $(M_n : n \geq 0)$  is a martingale, we have  $\mathbb{E}[M_n] = \mathbb{E}[M_0] = 0$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

- (2) In Homework 1, you have seen that if  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  is a probability space,  $\{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$  a finite **partition** of  $\Omega$  with  $B_i \in \mathcal{F}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $\mathcal{G} = \sigma(B_1, \dots, B_n)$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the partition  $\{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$ , then for any random variable  $X$  defined on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  one has

$$\mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{G}] = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(B_i)} \mathbb{I}_{B_i} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{I}_{B_i} X].$$

Use this formula to show that if  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathbb{I}_{\{X=x_i\}}$  and  $Y = \sum_{j=1}^m y_j \mathbb{I}_{\{Y=y_j\}}$  are discrete random variables on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  with values  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  respectively, then

$$\mathbb{E}[X | Y] = \mathbb{E}[X | \sigma(Y)] = \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbb{I}_{\{Y=y_j\}} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathbb{P}(X = x_i | Y = y_j),$$

where

$$\mathbb{P}(X = x_i | Y = y_j) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X = x_i, Y = y_j)}{\mathbb{P}(Y = y_j)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\{X = x_i\} \cap \{Y = y_j\})}{\mathbb{P}(\{Y = y_j\})}.$$

(2 pts)

**Proof:** Note that  $\{Y = y_j : j = 1, \dots, m\}$  is a partition of  $\Omega$  with  $\{Y = y_j\} \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , and  $\sigma(Y) = \sigma(\{Y = y_j : j = 1, \dots, m\})$ . Now, for any  $j = 1, \dots, m$

$$\mathbb{I}_{\{Y=y_j\}}X = \mathbb{I}_{\{Y=y_j\}} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathbb{I}_{\{X=x_i\}} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathbb{I}_{\{X=x_i, Y=y_j\}}.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{I}_{\{Y=y_j\}}X] = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathbb{P}(X = x_i, Y = y_j),$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(Y = y_j)} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{I}_{\{Y=y_j\}}X] = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathbb{P}(X = x_i | Y = y_j).$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}[X|Y] = \mathbb{E}[X|\sigma(Y)] = \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbb{I}_{\{Y=y_j\}} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathbb{P}(X = x_i | Y = y_j).$$

In other words, if  $\omega \in \Omega$  satisfies  $Y(\omega) = y_j$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}[X|Y](\omega) := \mathbb{E}[X|Y = y_j] = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathbb{P}(X = x_i | Y = y_j).$$

- (3) Let  $(W(t) : t \geq 0)$  be a Brownian motion, and let  $\{\mathcal{F}_t : t \geq 0\}$  be its natural filtration, i.e.  $\mathcal{F}(t) = \sigma(W(s) : s \leq t)$ . Consider the stochastic process  $(X(t) : t \geq 0)$  defined by  $X(t) = e^{\mu c(t) + W(t)}$  with  $\mu \neq 0$ , and  $c(t)$  a (measurable) function satisfying  $c(0) = \alpha$ , with  $\alpha \neq 0$  some given real number. Suppose we are told that the process  $(X(t) : t \geq 0)$  is a martingale with respect to the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_t : t \geq 0\}$ .

(a) Determine an explicit expression for  $c(t)$ . (1 pt)

(b) Determine the value of  $\mathbb{P}(X(t) > e^{\mu\alpha})$ , for  $t > 0$ . (1 pt)

(c) Let  $a$  be a real number. Prove that  $\mathbb{E}[W(t) e^{aW(t)}] = at e^{\frac{1}{2}a^2t}$  and  $\mathbb{E}[W(t)^2 e^{aW(t)}] = (t + a^2t^2) e^{\frac{1}{2}a^2t}$ . (1 pt)

(d) Let  $a$  be a real number and  $0 \leq s < t$ . Use part (c) to prove that

$$\mathbb{E}[W(t) e^{aW(t)} | \mathcal{F}(s)] = [W(s) + a(t-s)] e^{\frac{1}{2}a^2(t-s) + aW(s)}.$$

(2 pts)

**Proof (a):** Since  $(X(t) : t \geq 0)$  is a martingale with respect to the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}(t) : t \geq 0\}$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}[X(t)] = \mathbb{E}[X_0] = e^{\mu\alpha}$ , for any  $t \geq 0$ . Now,

$$\mathbb{E}[X(t)] = \mathbb{E}[e^{\mu c(t) + W(t)}] = e^{\mu c(t)} \mathbb{E}[e^{W(t)}] = e^{\mu c(t)} e^{\frac{1}{2}t} = e^{\mu c(t) + \frac{1}{2}t},$$

where in the last step we used the fact that the moment generating function of a normal random variable  $Y$  with mean 0 and variance  $t$  is given by  $E[e^{aY}] = e^{\frac{1}{2}a^2t}$ . Since  $\mathbb{E}[X(t)] = \mathbb{E}[X_0] = e^{\mu\alpha}$ , we then have  $\mu c(t) + \frac{1}{2}t = \mu\alpha$  or equivalently,  $c(t) = \alpha - \frac{t}{2\mu}$ .

**Proof (b):** Recall that  $W(t)$  is normally distributed with mean zero and variance  $t$ , hence  $W'(t) = W(t)/\sqrt{t}$  has the standard normal distribution. We denote (as in the book) the distribution of the standard normal with  $N$ . From part (a), we have  $X(t) = e^{\mu\alpha - t/2 + W(t)}$ , thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X(t) > e^{\mu\alpha}) &= \mathbb{P}(e^{\mu\alpha - t/2 + W(t)} > e^{\mu\alpha}) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\mu\alpha - t/2 + W(t) > \mu\alpha) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(W(t) > t/2) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(W'(t) > \sqrt{t}/2) \\ &= 1 - N(\sqrt{t}/2). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof (c):** Recall that  $W(t)$  is  $\mathcal{N}(0, t)$  distributed. For  $k \in \{1, 2\}$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}[W^k(t) e^{aW(t)}] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} w^k e^{aw} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{w^2}{t}} dw = e^{\frac{1}{2} a^2 t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{w^k}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{w-at}{\sqrt{t}}\right)^2} dw.$$

Note that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{w^k}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{w-at}{\sqrt{t}}\right)^2} dw = \mathbb{E}(Z^k)$ , where  $Z$  is normally distributed with mean  $at$  and variance  $t$ , hence it has value  $at$  if  $k = 1$  and value  $t + a^2 t^2$  if  $k = 2$ . This shows that  $\mathbb{E}[W(t) e^{aW(t)}] = at e^{\frac{1}{2} a^2 t}$  and  $\mathbb{E}[W(t)^2 e^{aW(t)}] = (t + a^2 t^2) e^{\frac{1}{2} a^2 t}$ .

**Proof (d):** Using linearity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[W(t) e^{aW(t)} | \mathcal{F}(s)] &= \mathbb{E}[(W(t) - W(s)) e^{a(W(t)-W(s))} e^{aW(s)} | \mathcal{F}(s)] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}[W(s) e^{a(W(t)-W(s))} e^{aW(s)} | \mathcal{F}(s)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $W(t) - W(s)$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}(s)$ , while  $W(s)$  is  $\mathcal{F}(s)$ -measurable, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[W(t) e^{aW(t)} | \mathcal{F}(s)] &= e^{aW(s)} \mathbb{E}[(W(t) - W(s)) e^{a(W(t)-W(s))}] \\ &\quad + W(s) e^{aW(s)} \mathbb{E}[e^{a(W(t)-W(s))}]. \end{aligned}$$

By part (c) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[W(t) e^{aW(t)} | \mathcal{F}(s)] &= e^{aW(s)} a(t-s) e^{\frac{1}{2} a^2 (t-s)} + W(s) e^{aW(s)} e^{\frac{1}{2} a^2 (t-s)} \\ &= [W(s) + a(t-s)] e^{aW(s) + \frac{1}{2} a^2 (t-s)}. \end{aligned}$$