

Solutions - Introduction to Financial Mathematics

1. Let $\{W(t) : t \geq 0\}$ be a Brownian motion, we define process $\{X(t) : t \geq 0\}$

$$X(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}W(3t).$$

- a. Prove that $\{X(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is a Brownian motion. (10 pts.)
 b. Let $Y(t) = X^2(t) - 2\sqrt{c}t$ for some non-negative constant c and for all $t \geq 0$. For which value of c is the process $\{Y(t) : t \geq 0\}$ a martingale with respect to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}(t) : t \geq 0\}$, with $\mathcal{F}(t) = \sigma(X(s) : s \leq t)$? (10 pts.)

Proof 1(a): We check that the process $(X(t) : t \geq 0)$ satisfies all the properties of a Brownian motion. We have

- (i) $X(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}W(0) = 0$.
 (ii) Since $(W(t) : t \geq 0)$ has continuous paths and the function $t \rightarrow 3t$ is continuous and so is multiplication by $1/\sqrt{3}$, we see that the process $(X(t) : t \geq 0)$ also has continuous paths.
 (iii) If $0 \leq u < v < s < t$, then clearly $0 \leq 3u < 3v < 3s < 3t$. Hence $W(3v) - W(3u)$ and $W(3t) - W(3s)$ are independent, implying that $X(v) - X(u)$ and $X(t) - X(s)$ are independent. Therefore the process $(X(t) : t \geq 0)$ has independent increments.
 (iv) if $s < t$, then $W(3t) - W(3s)$ is normally distributed with mean zero and variance $3t - 3s = 3(t - s)$. hence $X(t) - X(s)$ is also normally distributed with mean

$$\mathbb{E}[X(t) - X(s)] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\mathbb{E}[W(3t) - W(3s)] = 0,$$

and variance

$$\text{Var}(X(t) - X(s)) = \frac{1}{3}\text{Var}(W(3t) - W(3s)) = (t - s).$$

Therefore, $(X(t) : t \geq 0)$ is a Brownian motion.

Proof 1(b) The underlying filtration is given by $\mathcal{F}(t) = \sigma(X(s) : s \leq t)$. Now let $s < t$, and note that $Y(t) - Y(s)$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}(s)$ while $Y(s)$ is $\mathcal{F}(s)$ -measurable. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Y(t)|\mathcal{F}(s)] &= \mathbb{E}[(Y(t) - Y(s)) + Y(s)|\mathcal{F}(s)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[Y(t) - Y(s)] + Y(s) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X^2(t) - X^2(s)] - 2\sqrt{c}(t - s) + Y(s) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{3}W^2(3t) - \frac{1}{3}W^2(3s)\right] - 2\sqrt{c}(t - s) + Y(s) \\ &= (1/3)3t - 3s - 2\sqrt{c}(t - s) + Y(s) \\ &= (1 - 2\sqrt{c})(t - s) + Y(s). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for the process $(Y(t) : t \geq 0)$ to be a martingale, we must have $c = 1/4$.

2. Let $\{W(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ be a Brownian motion on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, and let $\{\mathcal{F}(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ be its natural filtration, and assume $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(T)$.

Consider a stock with price process $\{S(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ with

$$S(t) = S(0) \exp \left\{ \int_0^t e^{-u} dW(u) + \int_0^t \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}e^{-2u}\right) du \right\}$$

- (a) Let

$$X(t) = \int_0^t e^{-u} dW(u) + \int_0^t \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}e^{-2u}\right) du$$

Determine the distribution of $X(t)$.

- (b) Prove the $\{S(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is an Itô process.

Proof 2(a): Let $Y(t) = \int_0^t e^{-u} dW(u)$. Since $Y(t)$ is the Itô integral of a deterministic process, by Theorem 4.4.9 $Y(t)$ is normally distributed with $\mathbb{E}[Y(t)] = 0$ and $\text{Var}[Y(t)] = \int_0^t e^{-2u} du = \frac{1}{2}(1 - e^{-2t})$. Since $X(t) = Y(t) + \int_0^t (1 - \frac{1}{2}e^{-2u}) du = Y(t) + t + \frac{1}{4}(e^{-2t} - 1)$, we see that $X(t)$ is normally distributed with mean $\mathbb{E}[X(t)] = t + \frac{1}{4}(e^{-2t} - 1)$ and variance $\text{Var}[X(t)] = \text{Var}[Y(t)] = \frac{1}{2}(1 - e^{-2t})$.

Proof 2(b): With $X(t) = \int_0^t e^{-u} dW(u) + \int_0^t (1 - \frac{1}{2}e^{-2u}) du$ we have $dX(t) = e^{-t} dW(t) + (1 - \frac{1}{2}e^{-2t}) dt$ and $dX(t)dX(t) = e^{-2t} dt$. Note that $S(t) = S(0)e^{X(t)}$, so let $f(x) = S(0)e^x$, then $f_x(x) = f_{xx}(x) = f(x)$. By the Itô-Doeblin formula, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} dS(t) &= df(X(t)) = S(t)dX(t) + \frac{1}{2}S(t)dX(t)dX(t) \\ &= S(t) \left(e^{-t} dW(t) + (1 - \frac{1}{2}e^{-2t}) dt \right) + \frac{1}{2}S(t)e^{-2t} dt \\ &= S(t)dt + S(t)e^{-t} dW(t). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $S(t) = S(0) + \int_0^t S(u)du + \int_0^t S(u)e^{-u} dW(u)$. Hence, $\{S(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is an Itô process.

3. Let $\{W(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ be a Brownian motion on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, and let $\{\mathcal{F}(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ be its natural filtration, and assume $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(T)$. Consider a stock with price process $\{S(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ with $S(t) = t^4 + 4W(t)$.

- (a) Construct a measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ equivalent to \mathbb{P} (i.e., $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = 0$ if and only if $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$, $A \in \mathcal{F}$), such that the price process $\{S(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ is a martingale under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ and with respect to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$. (10 pts.)

- (b) Consider a European call option on this stock with expiration date T and strike price K . Find an expression for

$$C(0) = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[e^{-rT}(S(T) - K)^+],$$

the price of this option at time 0. (with interest rate r). (10 pts.)

Proof **3(a)**: Define $\theta(t) = t^3$, then

$$\frac{S(t)}{4} = \int_0^t \theta(u)du + W(t).$$

Consider the random variable $Z (= Z(T))$, as given in Girsanov's Theorem), defined by

$$Z = \exp\left(-\int_0^T \theta(u)dW(u) - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \theta^2(u)du\right) = \exp\left(-\int_0^T u^3dW(u) - \frac{T^7}{14}\right).$$

Note that $\int_0^t \theta(u)dW(u)$, $\int_0^t \theta^2(u)du$ and θ are continuous functions on the compact interval $[0, T]$, hence they are all bounded and the same holds for Z . This implies that $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \theta^2(u)Z^2(u)du\right] < \infty$. Define the measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ on \mathcal{F} by $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = \int_A Z$. By Girsanov's Theorem, the process $\{\tilde{W}(t) = \frac{S(t)}{4} : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ is a Brownian motion under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ and hence it is a martingale under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$. Since multiplying a martingale with a constant remains a martingale, we see that $\{S(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ is a martingale under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$.

Proof **3(b)**: By part (a), we see that under the measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$, the random variable $S(T)/4$ is $N(0, T)$ distributed, hence $S(T)$ is $N(0, 16T)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} C(0) &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[e^{-rT}(S(T) - K)^+] \\ &= e^{-rT} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - K)^+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{32\pi T}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{32T}} dx \\ &= e^{-rT} \int_K^{\infty} (x - K) \frac{1}{\sqrt{32\pi T}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{32T}} dx \\ &= e^{-rT} \int_{K/4\sqrt{T}}^{\infty} (4\sqrt{T}y - K) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy \\ &= e^{-rT} \int_{K/4\sqrt{T}}^{\infty} 4\sqrt{T}y \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy - Ke^{-rT} \int_{K/4\sqrt{T}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy \\ &= e^{-rT - K^2/32T} 4\sqrt{\frac{T}{2\pi}} - e^{-rT} K \left(1 - N(K/4\sqrt{T})\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $N(y)$ is the standard normal distribution function.

4. Given a Radon-Nikodym derivative Z , and the associated Radon-Nikodym process $\{Z(t) : t \geq 0\}$, defined by $Z(t) = \mathbb{E}[Z|\mathcal{F}(t)]$, where $\{\mathcal{F}(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is a given filtration. We then have the change of probability measure, $d\tilde{\mathbb{P}} = Zd\mathbb{P}$, with the expectation under the $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -measure, i.e., $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[Y] = \mathbb{E}[ZY]$

- a. Let Y be a random variable which is $\mathcal{F}(t)$ -measurable. Prove that

$$\mathbb{E}[YZ] = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[Y] = \mathbb{E}[YZ(t)]. \quad (10 \text{ pts.})$$

- b. Suppose Y is $\mathcal{F}(t)$ -measurable, then prove (using partial averaging) that, for $s < t$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[Y|\mathcal{F}(s)] = \frac{1}{Z(s)} \mathbb{E}[YZ(t)|\mathcal{F}(s)]. \quad (10 \text{ pts.})$$

Proof 4(a): We look for the proof of Lemma 5.2.1 from the book. Recall: $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[Y] = \mathbb{E}[ZY]$, Y is a r.v. so Y is $\mathcal{F}(t)$ -measurable. Let $\{\mathcal{F}(t) : t \geq 0\}$ be a given filtration (for which we have defined the Radon-Nikodym process).

We consider the RHS

$$\mathbb{E}[YZ(t)] = \mathbb{E}[Y\mathbb{E}[Z|\mathcal{F}(t)]] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[YZ|\mathcal{F}(t)]] = \mathbb{E}[YZ] = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[Y]$$

using the $\mathcal{F}(t)$ measurability of Y .

Proof 4(b): Here we look for the proof of book's Lemma 5.2.2:

Recall: $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[Y] = \mathbb{E}[ZY]$, Y is a r.v., so Y is $\mathcal{F}(t)$ -measurable. To prove the result, it is enough to show that the RHS is the conditional expectation of Y given $\mathcal{F}(s)$ under the measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$.

So, we need to verify the two defining conditions of conditional expectations.

- (i) Clearly the RHS is $\mathcal{F}(s)$ -measurable. $Z(s)^{-1}$ is $\mathcal{F}(s)$ -measurable; the same holds for the second term. Hence, the product is $\mathcal{F}(s)$ -measurable.
- (ii) Now, let $A \in \mathcal{F}(s)$, we want to show

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A \frac{1}{Z(s)} \mathbb{E}[YZ(t)|\mathcal{F}(s)] d\tilde{\mathbb{P}} &= \int_A Y d\tilde{\mathbb{P}} = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\mathbb{1}_A Y] \\ \int_A \frac{1}{Z(s)} \mathbb{E}[YZ(t)|\mathcal{F}(s)] d\tilde{\mathbb{P}} &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\mathbb{1}_A \frac{1}{Z(s)} \mathbb{E}[YZ(t)|\mathcal{F}(s)]] \\ &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_A \frac{1}{Z(s)} YZ(t)|\mathcal{F}(s)]] \text{ use Lemma 5.2.1} \\ &= \mathbb{E}[Z(s) \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_A \frac{1}{Z(s)} YZ(t)|\mathcal{F}(s)]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_A YZ(t)|\mathcal{F}(s)]] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_A YZ(t)] \\ \text{(using again Lemma 5.2.1)} &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\mathbb{1}_A Y] = \int_A Y d\tilde{\mathbb{P}} \\ \text{So, } \frac{1}{Z(s)} \mathbb{E}[YZ(t)|\mathcal{F}(s)] &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[Y|\mathcal{F}(s)] \end{aligned}$$

- 5.** Let $\{(W_1(t), W_2(t)) : t \geq 0\}$ be a 2-dimensional Brownian motion, defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Consider two price processes $\{S_1(t) : t \geq 0\}$ and $\{S_2(t) : t \geq 0\}$ with corresponding SDE given by:

$$\begin{aligned} dS_1(t) &= 2\alpha S_1(t) dW_1(t) + 3\beta S_1(t) dW_2(t) \\ dS_2(t) &= \frac{\gamma}{2} S_2(t) dt + \frac{\sigma}{4} S_2(t) dW_1(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \sigma$ are positive constants.

- a.** Show that $\{S_1(t)S_2(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is a 2-dimensional Itô-process. (10 pts.)
- b.** Consider a finite expiration date T , and suppose the interest rate is constant, $R(t) = r$ for all $t > 0$. Show that the

market price equations have a unique solution, and determine the risk-neutral probability measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ for the process $\{(S_1(t), S_2(t)) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$. (10 pts.)

Proof 5(a): We apply Itô's product rule, as follows,

$$d(S_1(t)S_2(t)) = S_1(t)dS_2(t) + S_2(t)dS_1(t) + dS_1(t)dS_2(t).$$

Using $dS_1(t) = 2\alpha S_1(t)dW_1(t) + 3\beta S_1(t)dW_2(t)$, $dS_2(t) = \gamma/2 S_2(t)dt + \sigma/4 S_2(t)dW_1(t)$, and simplifying, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(S_1(t)S_2(t)) &= (\gamma/2 + (\alpha\sigma)/2)S_1(t)S_2(t)dt \\ &\quad + (\sigma/4 + 2\alpha)S_1(t)S_2(t)dW_1(t) + 3\beta S_1(t)S_2(t)dW_2(t). \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(t)S_2(t) &= S_1(0)S_2(0) + \int_0^t (\gamma/2 + (\alpha\sigma)/2)S_1(u)S_2(u)du \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (\sigma/4 + 2\alpha)S_1(u)S_2(u)dW_1(u) + \int_0^t 3\beta S_1(u)S_2(u)dW_2(u). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\{S_1(t)S_2(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is a 2-dimensional Itô process.

Proof 5(b): Using the notation of the book, we have

$$\alpha_1 = 0, \sigma_{11} = 2\alpha, \sigma_{12} = 3\beta, \alpha_2 = \gamma/2, \sigma_{21} = \sigma/4, \sigma_{22} = 0.$$

The market price equations in this case form the system,

$$\begin{aligned} -r &= 2\alpha\theta_1(t) + 3\beta\theta_2(t) \\ \gamma/2 - r &= \sigma/4\theta_1(t) \end{aligned}$$

Solving for $\theta_1(t), \theta_2(t)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1(t) &= \frac{\gamma/2 - r}{\sigma/4} \\ \theta_2(t) &= -\frac{\sigma/4r + 2\alpha(\gamma/2 - r)}{(\sigma/4)3\beta} \end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \exp \left\{ -\int_0^T (\theta_1(t)dW_1(t) + \theta_2(t)dW_2(t)) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T (\theta_1^2(t) + \theta_2^2(t)) dt \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ -\frac{\gamma/2 - r}{\sigma/4} W_1(T) + \frac{\sigma/4r + 2\alpha(\gamma/2 - r)}{(\sigma/4)3\beta} W_2(T) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(\gamma/2 - r)^2}{(\sigma/4)^2} + \frac{(\sigma/4r + 2\alpha(\gamma/2 - r))^2}{(\sigma/4)^2 3\beta^2} \right) T \right\} \end{aligned}$$

(2)

The risk-neutral measure is given by $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = \int_A Z d\mathbb{P}$. To check this, we set

$$\tilde{W}_1(t) = \frac{\gamma/2 - r}{\sigma/4}t + W_1(t)$$

and

$$\tilde{W}_2(t) = -\frac{\sigma/4r + 2\alpha(\gamma/2 - r)}{(\sigma/4)3\beta}t + W_2(t).$$

By the 2-dimensional Girsanov Theorem, the process $\{(\tilde{W}_1(t), \tilde{W}_2(t)) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ is a 2-dimensional Brownian motion under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$.

Rewriting $e^{-rt}S_1(t), e^{-rt}S_2(t)$ in terms of $\tilde{W}_1(t), \tilde{W}_2(t)$, we get after applying the Itô product rule

$$\begin{aligned} d(e^{-rt}S_1(t)) &= e^{-rt}S_1(t)(2\alpha d\tilde{W}_1(t) + 3\beta d\tilde{W}_2(t)) \\ d(e^{-rt}S_2(t)) &= e^{-rt}S_2(t)\sigma/4d\tilde{W}_1(t), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the discounted price processes are Itô integrals and hence martingales under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$.

6. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, $\{W(t) = (W_1(t), W_2(t)) : t \geq 0\}$ a 2-dim. Brownian Motion with filtration $\{\mathcal{F}(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ (T is a fixed time). Let $\{\theta(t) = (\theta_1(t), \theta_2(t)) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ be an adapted process. Define,

$$Z(t) = \exp \left\{ -\int_0^t \theta_1(u) dW_1(u) - \int_0^t \theta_2(u) dW_2(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (\theta_1^2(u) + \theta_2^2(u)) du \right\}$$

and $\tilde{W}_i(t) = W_i(t) + \int_0^t \theta_i(u) du$, $i = 1, 2$.

Moreover, assume

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t (\theta_1^2(u) + \theta_2^2(u)) Z(u) du \right] < \infty,$$

set $Z = Z(T)$ and define $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = \int_A Z d\mathbb{P}$.

Prove that $\{\tilde{W}(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ is a 2-dim. Brownian Motion under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$. (10 pts.)

Proof 6: The proof follows the same steps as in the one-dimensional case. We use here the 2-dimensional Lévy characterization. It is easily checked that (student has to show this)

- (i) $\tilde{W}_1(0) = \tilde{W}_2(0) = 0$;
- (ii) $\{\tilde{W}_i(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ has continuous paths, $i = 1, 2$;
- (iii) $[\tilde{W}_i, \tilde{W}_i](t) = [W_i, W_i](t) = t$;
- (iv) $[\tilde{W}_i, \tilde{W}_j](t) = 0$, $i \neq j$.

The only thing left to prove is that $\{\tilde{W}_1(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ and $\{\tilde{W}_2(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ are martingales under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$.

Claim: $\{\tilde{W}_i(t)Z(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ is a martingale under \mathbb{P} .

Proof of claim: We have,

$$\begin{aligned} dZ(t) &= -Z(t)\theta_1(t)dW_1(t) - Z(t)\theta_2(t)dW_2(t) \\ d\tilde{W}_i(t) &= dW_i(t) + \theta_i(t)dt, \quad i = 1, 2 \end{aligned}$$

We apply the Itô-product rule, to get $d(\tilde{W}_1(t)Z(t)) =$

$$\begin{aligned} &= Z(t)d\tilde{W}_1(t) + \tilde{W}_1(t)dZ(t) + dZ(t)d\tilde{W}_1(t) \\ &= Z(t)(dW_1(t) + \theta_1(t)dt) \\ &\quad + \tilde{W}_1(t)(-Z(t)\theta_1(t)dW_1(t) - Z(t)\theta_2(t)dW_2(t)) \\ &\quad (-Z(t)\theta_1(t)dW_1(t) - Z(t)\theta_2(t)dW_2(t))(dW_1(t) + \theta_1(t)dt) \\ &= Z(t)dW_1(t) + Z(t)\theta_1(t)dt - Z(t)\tilde{W}_1(t)\theta_1(t)dW_1(t) \\ &\quad - \tilde{W}_1(t)Z(t)\theta_2(t)dW_2(t) - Z(t)\theta_1(t)dt \\ &= Z(t) \left(1 - \theta_1(t)\tilde{W}_1(t) \right) dW_1(t) - \tilde{W}_1(t)Z(t)\theta_2(t)dW_2(t) \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow This is a sum of two Itô-integrals. Hence $\{\tilde{W}_1(t)Z(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ is a martingale under \mathbb{P} , and similarly $\{\tilde{W}_2(t)Z(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ is a martingale under \mathbb{P} .

We now use Lemma 5.2.2. to get for $s < t$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\tilde{W}_1(t)|\mathcal{F}(s)] &= \frac{1}{Z(s)} \mathbb{E}[\tilde{W}_1(t)Z(t)|\mathcal{F}(s)] \\ &= \frac{1}{Z(s)} \tilde{W}_1(s)Z(s) = \tilde{W}_1(s). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for $\tilde{W}_2(t)$.

$\Rightarrow \{\tilde{W}_i(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ is a martingale under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$. In other words, $\{\tilde{W}(t) = (\tilde{W}_1(t), \tilde{W}_2(t)) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ is a BM under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$.