

Exam WISB326, June 28, 2022, 17:00-20:00
Solutions and grading scheme

Exam problems

- Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0.

- (1) (12 points) Let $X = V((x_1+x_2)(2x_1+x_3), (x_2-x_3^2)(4x_1^2-x_3^2)) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^3(k)$. Determine the irreducible components of X .

Solution

Since $4x_1^2 - x_3^2 = (2x_1 + x_3)(2x_1 - x_3)$, using the properties of $V(\cdot)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} X &= V(2x_1 + x_3) \cup V(x_1 + x_2, (x_2 - x_3^2)(2x_1 - x_3)) \\ &= V(2x_1 + x_3) \cup (V(x_1 + x_2) \cap V((x_2 - x_3^2)(2x_1 - x_3))) \\ &= V(2x_1 + x_3) \cup (V(x_1 + x_2) \cap V(x_2 - x_3^2)) \cup (V(x_1 + x_2) \cap V(2x_1 - x_3)) \\ &= V(2x_1 + x_3) \cup V(x_1 + x_2, x_2 - x_3^2) \cup V(x_1 + x_2, 2x_1 - x_3). \end{aligned}$$

Now, $2x_1 + x_3$ is an irreducible polynomial, $(x_1 + x_2, 2x_1 - x_3)$ is a prime ideal as it is generated by linear polynomials, and $k[x_1, x_2, x_3]/(x_1 + x_2, x_2 - x_3^2) \cong k[x_3]$ is an integral domain, hence $X_1 := V(2x_1 + x_3)$, $X_2 := V(x_1 + x_2, x_2 - x_3^2)$ and $X_3 := V(x_1 + x_2, 2x_1 - x_3)$ are irreducible. We observe that $X_1 \cap X_3 = \{(0, 0, 0)\}$ is a proper subset of both X_1 and X_3 , hence $X_1 \not\subseteq X_3$ and $X_3 \not\subseteq X_1$. Similarly, $X_1 \not\subseteq X_2$ and $X_2 \not\subseteq X_1$ as $X_1 \cap X_2 = \{(0, 0, 0), (-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})\}$ is a proper subset of both X_1 and X_2 . Finally, $X_2 \cap X_3 = \{(0, 0, 0), (-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{2})\}$ is a proper subset of both X_2 and X_3 , hence $X_2 \not\subseteq X_3$ and $X_3 \not\subseteq X_2$. Thus X_1, X_2, X_3 are the irreducible components of X .

6 points for computations

3 points for irreducibility of the components

3 points for components not contained in each other

- (2) Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n(k)$ be an affine algebraic set.

(a) (4 points) Show that $X = V(I(X))$.

(b) (4 points) Show that X is a point if and only if $I(X)$ is a maximal ideal.

(c) (6 points) Let $P = (a_0 : \dots : a_n) \in \mathbb{P}^n(k)$. Show that the ideal $I(P) \subseteq k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ is generated by the polynomials $a_i x_j - a_j x_i$ for $i, j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$.

Solution

(a) By definition $I(X)$ is the set of polynomials that vanish on X , and $V(I(X))$ is the set of common zeros of all polynomials in $I(X)$. Hence, $X \subseteq V(I(X))$. Since X is an algebraic set, there is an ideal $J \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $X = V(J)$. By the Nullstellensatz $I(X) = \sqrt{J} \supseteq J$. Thus $V(I(X)) = V(\sqrt{J}) \subseteq V(J) = X$.

2 points for one inclusion

2 points for the reverse inclusion: 1 for $J \subseteq I(X)$ and 1 for conclusion.

- (b) Assume that X is a point, $X = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Then $x_i - a_i \in I(X)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. We observe that $(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$ is a maximal ideal as it is the kernel of the morphism $k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow k$ that sends x_i to a_i for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since $X \neq \emptyset$, then $I(X)$ is a proper ideal. Hence, $(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n) = I(X)$ by maximality of $(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$. For the converse implication, let $x \in X$ be a point. If $X \neq \{x\}$, then $I(X) \subsetneq I(\{x\})$ by part (a). Since $I(\{x\})$ is a proper ideal (for example, because we just proved above that it is a maximal ideal), we conclude that $I(X)$ is not a maximal ideal.

2 points for one implication

2 points for the reverse implication

- (c) Observe that $a_i x_j - a_j x_i \in I(P)$ for $i, j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, as they all vanish at P . For the reverse inclusion, let $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ such that $a_i \neq 0$. For every $j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, let $b_j = \frac{a_j}{a_i}$. Let $U_i = \mathbb{P}^n(k) \setminus V(x_i)$. Then $P = (b_0 : \dots : b_n)$ and the dehomogenization of P under the embedding $\mathbb{A}^n(k) \cong U_i \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(k)$ is $P_* = (b_0, \dots, b_{i-1}, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_n)$. Let's denote by $k[u_0, \dots, u_{i-1}, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_n]$ the coordinate ring of $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$. By part (b) we know that $I(P_*)$ is the maximal ideal generated by $x_j - b_j$ for $j \in \{0, \dots, i-1, i+1, \dots, n\}$. Since P doesn't intersect $V(x_i)$ we have $(P_*)^* = P$. Then $I(P) = I((P_*)^*) = I(P_*)^*$ is generated by $x_j - b_j x_i$ for $j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. Note that $a_i(x_j - b_j x_i) = a_i x_j - a_j x_i$. Thus

$$I(P) = (a_i x_0 - a_0 x_i, \dots, a_i x_n - a_n x_i) \subseteq (\{a_i x_j - a_j x_i : i, j \in \{0, \dots, n\}\}).$$

1 point for the first inclusion

1 point for $V(\{a_i x_j - a_j x_i : i, j \in \{0, \dots, n\}\}) = \{P\}$

1 point for the dehomogenization

1 point for using part (b)

1 point for the homogenization

1 point for concluding the reverse inclusion.

- (3) Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n(k)$ be a nonempty affine algebraic set such that $X \neq \mathbb{A}^n(k)$. Let $U_0 = \mathbb{P}^n \setminus V(x_0)$, and $\varphi : \mathbb{A}^n(k) \rightarrow U_0 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(k)$ be the corresponding inclusion.

- (a) (6 points) Define X^* . Show that X^* is the smallest projective algebraic set containing $\varphi(X)$.
- (b) (6 points) Show that $V(x_i) \not\subseteq X^*$ and that no irreducible component of X^* is contained in $V(x_i)$.

Solution

- (a) By definition X^* is a projective algebraic set containing $\varphi(X)$. Let Y be another projective algebraic set containing $\varphi(X)$. Then $X = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(X)) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(Y) = Y_*$. Thus $X^* \subseteq (Y_*)^* \subseteq Y$.

1 point for the definition

1 point for $\varphi(X) \subseteq X^*$

- 1 point for $X = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(X))$
- 1 point for $\varphi^{-1}(Y) = Y_*$
- 1 point for deducing $X^* \subseteq (Y_*)^*$
- 1 point for $(Y_*)^* \subseteq Y$

(b) Without loss of generality we can assume that X is irreducible. If $V(x_0) \subseteq X^*$, then $I(X)^* \subseteq I(X^*) \subseteq (x_0) \subseteq k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. But if $f \in I(X)$ such that $f \neq 0$ (such an f exists as $X \neq \mathbb{A}^n(k)$), then $f^* \notin (x_0)$ by construction of f^* . This is a contradiction, hence $V(x_0) \not\subseteq X^*$. Since $X^* \cap U_0 = \varphi(X) \neq \emptyset$ as $X \neq \emptyset$, then $X^* \not\subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(k) \setminus U_0 = V(x_0)$.

- 1 point for $I(X)^* \subseteq I(X^*)$
- 1 point for $I(X^*) \subseteq (x_i)$
- 1 point for using $X \neq \mathbb{A}^n(k)$
- 1 point for $f^* \notin (x_0)$
- 1 point for $X^* \cap U_0 = \varphi(X)$
- 1 point for using $X \neq \emptyset$

(4) Consider the projective plane curve $X = V(f)$ given by

$$f = x_0^5 + (x_1 + x_2)^2(x_0x_2^2 - x_2^3).$$

- (a) (2 points) Compute a change of coordinates $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^2(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2(k)$ such that $\varphi(0 : 0 : 1) = (0 : 1 : -1)$, $\varphi(0 : 1 : 0) = (0 : 1 : 0)$ and $\varphi(V(x_0)) = V(x_0)$.
- (b) (16) Compute the multiple points for f . Compute multiplicities, tangent lines and multiplicities of the tangent lines at each multiple point for f .

Solution

(a) The morphism $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^2(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2(k)$ given by $\varphi(x_0 : x_1 : x_2) = (x_0 : x_1 + x_2 : -x_2)$ is a change of coordinates because it is an isomorphism (with inverse $\varphi^{-1}(x_0 : x_1 : x_2) = (x_0 : x_1 + x_2 : -x_2)$), and it satisfies the required conditions as $x_0 \circ \varphi^{-1} = x_0$.

- 1 point for the correct linear forms and for checking the required conditions
- 1 point for the definition of change of coordinate

(b) The multiple points for f can be computed as solutions of the linear system of equations $f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} = 0$. We compute the partial derivatives of f :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} &= 5x_0^4 + (x_1 + x_2)^2x_2^2, \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} &= 2(x_1 + x_2)(x_0x_2^2 - x_2^3), \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} &= 2(x_1 + x_2)(x_0x_2^2 - x_2^3) + (x_1 + x_2)^2(2x_0x_2 - 3x_2^2). \end{aligned}$$

If $x_1 + x_2 = 0$, $f = 0$ gives $x_0 = 0$, and we get the multiple point $(0 : 1 : -1)$. If $x_1 + x_2 \neq 0$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = 0$ is equivalent to $(x_0x_2^2 - x_2^3) = 0$. Since $f = 0$, we get $x_0 = 0$. Then the equation $(x_0x_2^2 - x_2^3) = 0$ gives the multiple point $(0 : 1 : 0)$. If we dehomogenize f with respect to x_1 , we get $m_{(0:1:0)}(f) = m_{(0,0)}(x_0^5 + (1 + x_2)^2(x_0x_2^2 - x_2^3)) = 3$ and the tangent lines at $(0 : 1 : 0)$

are given by $x_0x_2^2 - x_2^3 = 0$. Thus at $(0 : 1 : 0)$ there are two tangent lines: $V(x_0 - x_2)$ of multiplicity 1, and $V(x_2)$ of multiplicity 2. For the multiplicity of f at $(0 : 1 : -1)$ we first compute

$$f \circ \varphi = x_0^5 + x_1^2(x_0x_2^2 + x_2^3).$$

If we dehomogenize $f \circ \varphi$ at x_2 , we get

$$m_{(0:1:1)}(f) = m_{(0:0:1)}(f \circ \varphi) = m_{(0,0)}(x_0^5 + x_1^2(x_0 + 1)) = 2,$$

and $f \circ \varphi$ has only one tangent line at $(0 : 0 : 1)$: $V(x_1)$ of multiplicity 2. Thus f has one tangent line of multiplicity 2 at $(0 : 1 : -1)$: $V(x_1 + x_2)$.

3 points for computing the multiple points

6 points for $(0 : 1 : 0)$ distributed as follows: 1 for dehomogenization, 1 for multiplicity, 2 for tangent lines, 2 points for multiplicities of the tangent lines

1 point for $f \circ \varphi$

1 point for $m_{(0:1:-1)}(f) = m_{(0:0:1)}(f \circ \varphi)$

1 point for the dehomogenization of $f \circ \varphi$

1 point for the multiplicity

1 point for the tangent line to $f \circ \varphi$

1 point for the tangent line to f

1 point for multiplicity of tangent line.

- (5) Let $f \in k[x, y]$ be a nonconstant polynomial and $l \in k[x, y]$ a polynomial of degree 1. Assume that $V(l)$ is tangent to $V(f)$ at a point $P \in V(f)$.
- (a) (6 points) Show that $I(P, f \cap l) > m_P(f)$.
- (b) (4 points) Assume that $I(P, f \cap l) = 3$, and that $V(l)$ is the unique tangent line to $V(f)$ at P . Show that P is contained in a unique irreducible component of $V(f)$.
- (c) (4 points) Show that there exists no projective plane curve of degree 7 that is tangent to the same line at five distinct points.

Solution

- (a) Up to a change of coordinates, we can assume that $P = (0, 0)$. Write $f = \sum_{i=0}^d f_i$ with f_i homogeneous of degree i for each $i \in \{0, \dots, d\}$. Let $a = m_P(f)$. Then $a \geq 1$ as $P \in V(f)$ and $f_0 = \dots = f_{a-1} = 0$ and $f_a \neq 0$ as polynomials. Since $V(l)$ is tangent to $V(f)$ at P and l is an irreducible polynomial of degree 1, we have $l \mid f_a$. Thus

$$I(P, f \cap l) = I(P, (f - f_a) \cap l) \geq m_P(f - f_a)m_P(l) \geq a + 1,$$

as $m_P(f - f_a) = m_P(f_{a+1} + \dots + f_d) \geq a + 1$ and $m_P(l) = 1$.

2 points for correct use of the definition of $m_P(f)$

1 point for $I(P, f \cap l) = I(P, (f - f_a) \cap l)$

1 point for $I(P, (f - f_a) \cap l) \geq m_P(f - f_a)m_P(l)$

1 point for $m_P(f - f_a) \geq a + 1$

1 point for $m_P(l) = 1$

- (b) If $V(f)$ has two irreducible components passing through P , then $f = gh$ for two polynomials $g, h \in k[x, y]$ such that $g(P) = h(P) = 0$. Hence, $m_P(g) \geq 1$, $m_P(h) \geq 1$, and $I(P, g \cap l) \geq 2$ and $I(P, h \cap l) \geq 2$ by part

(a). Thus $I(P, f \cap l) = I(P, g \cap l) + I(P, h \cap l) \geq 4$ which contradicts the assumption $I(P, f \cap l) = 3$.

1 point for setting up g and h

1 point for $m_P(g) \geq 1, m_P(h) \geq 1$

1 point for use of part (a)

1 point for $I(P, f \cap l) = I(P, g \cap l) + I(P, h \cap l)$

(c) Let $f, l \in k[x, y, z]$ be a nonconstant homogeneous polynomial, $\deg l = 1$. Let P_1, \dots, P_5 distinct points in $V(f) \cap V(l) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2(k)$. By Bezout's theorem we have $\deg f = \deg f \deg l \geq \sum_{i=1}^5 I(P_i, f \cap l)$. If $V(f)$ is tangent to $V(l)$ at P_1, \dots, P_5 , then $I(P_i, f \cap l) \geq 2$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$ and hence $\deg f \geq 10$. Thus there is no projective plane curve of degree 7 tangent to a line at five distinct points.

1 point for correct use of definition of projective plane curve/setting up notation

1 point for using Bezout's theorem

1 point for using part (a)

1 point for conclusion.

(6) Let X and Y be two affine algebraic sets.

(a) (9 points) State and prove the correspondence between polynomial maps $X \rightarrow Y$ and homomorphisms of coordinate rings.

(b) (2 points) State the correspondence between dominant rational maps $X \dashrightarrow Y$ and homomorphisms of function fields. Include all necessary definitions.

Solution

(a) Statement: Every polynomial map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ induces a homomorphism of k -algebras $\tilde{\varphi} : \Gamma(Y) \rightarrow \Gamma(X)$ by composition by φ . This construction induces a bijection between the set of polynomial maps $X \rightarrow Y$ and the set of homomorphisms of k -algebras $\Gamma(Y) \rightarrow \Gamma(X)$.

Proof: Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n(k)$ and $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^m(k)$ be algebraic sets. A polynomial map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is given in coordinates by $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$ where $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then composition by φ is the k -algebra homomorphism

$$\Gamma(Y) = k[x_1, \dots, x_m]/I(Y) \rightarrow \Gamma(X) = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I(X), \quad \bar{x}_i \mapsto \bar{\varphi}_i.$$

Let $\alpha : \Gamma(Y) \rightarrow \Gamma(X)$ be a homomorphism of k -algebras. For every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ let $\psi_i \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $\alpha(\bar{x}_i) = \bar{\psi}_i$. Consider the polynomial map $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m) : \mathbb{A}^n(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^m(k)$. Since $\tilde{\psi}$ factors by α by construction, we have $\tilde{\psi}(I(Y)) \subseteq I(X)$ and hence $\psi(X) \subseteq Y$. Let $\varphi = \psi|_X : X \rightarrow Y$. Then φ is a polynomial map such that $\tilde{\varphi} = \alpha$ by construction. For the converse composition. Start with a polynomial map $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m) : X \rightarrow Y$, then $\tilde{\varphi} : \Gamma(Y) \rightarrow \Gamma(X)$ is given by $\tilde{\varphi}(\bar{x}_i) = \bar{\varphi}_i$. Choose possibly different $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $\bar{\psi}_i = \tilde{\varphi}(\bar{x}_i)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Let $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m) : X \rightarrow Y$. Then $\psi = \varphi$ as functions because $\varphi_i - \psi_i \in I(X)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

2 points for statement

1 point for correct definition of polynomial map

- 1 point for proving composition by φ is a homomorphism of k -algebras
- 1 point for choosing ψ_i
- 1 point for constructing ψ
- 1 point for constructing φ
- 1 point for one composition
- 1 point for the converse composition

(b) Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n(k)$ and $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^m(k)$ be algebraic sets. A rational map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is given in coordinates by $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$ for suitable rational functions $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m \in k(X)$. Then φ induces a homomorphism of k -algebras $\tilde{\varphi} : k(Y) \rightarrow k(X)$ given by $\tilde{\varphi}(\bar{x}_i) = \varphi_i$.

2 points

(7) Consider the curve $C = V(y^2 - x^3 + x, y^3 - z^2) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^3(k)$. We denote by $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z} \in k[x, y, z]/I(C)$ the classes of the variables x, y, z .

- (a) (2 points) Show that the element $\frac{1}{\bar{y}} \in k(C)$ is contained in the subfield $k(\bar{x}, \bar{z})$.
- (b) (7 points) Determine a plane curve $C' \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2(k)$ birational to C and write down an explicit birational morphism $C' \dashrightarrow C$.

Solution

(a) In $k(C)$ we have

$$\frac{1}{\bar{y}} = \frac{\bar{y}^2}{\bar{y}^3} = \frac{\bar{x}^3 - \bar{x}}{\bar{z}^2}.$$

Hence, $\frac{1}{\bar{y}} \in k(\bar{x}, \bar{z})$.

(b) By part (a) we have $k(C) = k(\bar{x}, \bar{z})$. From the equations defining C we have

$$\bar{z}^4 = \bar{y}^6 = (\bar{x}^3 - \bar{x})^3 = (\bar{x}^3 - \bar{x})^3.$$

The polynomial $z^4 - (x^3 - x)^3 \in k(x)[z]$ is irreducible as $(x^3 - x)^3$ is not a square in $k[x]$.

Let $C' = V(z^4 - (x^3 - x)^3) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2(k)$. Then $k(C') = k(x)[z]/(z^4 - (x^3 - x)^3)$, and we have an isomorphism $k(x)[z]/(z^4 - (x^3 - x)^3) \rightarrow k(C)$ induced by the k -algebra homomorphism

$$k[x, z] \rightarrow k(C), \quad x \mapsto \bar{x}, \quad z \mapsto \bar{z}.$$

This isomorphism corresponds to the birational map

$$C \dashrightarrow C', \quad (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, z),$$

which has inverse

$$C' \dashrightarrow C, \quad (x, z) \mapsto \left(x, \frac{z^2}{x^3 - x}, z \right).$$

- 1 point for $k(C) = k(\bar{x}, \bar{z})$ from part (a)
- 1 point for finding a polynomial
- 1 point for irreducibility
- 1 point for birational iff isomorphic function fields
- 1 point for $k(C') \cong k(\bar{x}, \bar{z})$
- 1 point for $k(C) \cong k(\bar{x}, \bar{z})$
- 1 point for the explicit birational map.

The grade of the exam is computed as: $E = (\text{number of points})/6.8$

Hand-in component of the grade is computed as: $H = (\text{number of points})/4$

The final grade of the course is computed as: $\max\{E, 80\%E + 20\%H\}$. In order to pass the course the value $E \geq 5.5$ is required.