

**Retake exam WISB326, July 12, 2022, 17:00-20:00**  
**Solutions and grading scheme**

Exam problems

- Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0.

(1) (12 points) Let  $X = V(x_1 + x_2 - x_3^3) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^3(k)$ . For each of the following sets determine whether it is an open subset of  $X$  and whether it is a closed subset of  $X$ :

$$(X \cap V(x_1)) \setminus \{(0, 0, 1)\}, \quad (X \cap V(x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^3(x_1 - x_2))) \setminus \{(0, 1, 1)\}, \\ (X \cap V(x_1 - x_2, x_2 - 2x_3)) \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}.$$

**Solution**

Since  $(0, 0, 1) \notin X$ , we see that  $(X \cap V(x_1)) \setminus \{(0, 0, 1)\} = X \cap V(x_1)$  is an intersection of two algebraic sets, hence it is an algebraic set in  $\mathbb{A}^3(k)$ , and hence, a closed subset of  $X$ . Since  $k[x_1, x_2, x_3]/(x_1 + x_2 - x_3^3) \cong k[x_2, x_3]$  is an integral domain,  $X$  is irreducible, and hence every nonempty open subset is dense in  $X$ . We see that  $(0, 0, 0) \in X \cap V(x_1)$ , thus  $X \cap V(x_1)$  is nonempty. Since  $X \cap V(x_1)$  is closed in  $X$  and  $(0, 0, 1) \notin X \cap V(x_1)$ , the complement of  $X \cap V(x_1)$  is a nonempty open subset of  $X$ , and it doesn't intersect  $X \cap V(x_1)$ . Thus  $X \cap V(x_1)$  is not dense in  $X$ , and hence it is not an open subset of  $X$ .

We observe that  $X \subseteq V(x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^3(x_1 - x_2))$ , as  $V(x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^3(x_1 - x_2)) = V(x_1 - x_2) \cup V(x_1 + x_2 - x_3^3)$ . Thus  $(X \cap V(x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^3(x_1 - x_2))) \setminus \{(0, 1, 1)\} = X \setminus \{(0, 1, 1)\}$  is an open subset of  $X$  because its complement  $\{(0, 1, 1)\}$ , which is a point, is an algebraic set. Since  $(0, 0, 0) \in X \setminus \{(0, 1, 1)\}$ , we have that  $X \setminus \{(0, 1, 1)\}$  is a nonempty open subset of  $X$ , and hence dense in  $X$ . Thus  $X \setminus \{(0, 1, 1)\}$  is the smallest algebraic set that contains  $X \setminus \{(0, 1, 1)\}$ , hence  $X \setminus \{(0, 1, 1)\}$  is not an algebraic set, and in particular, it is not a closed subset of  $X$ .

We observe that  $X \cap V(x_1 - x_2, x_2 - 2x_3) = \{(0, 0, 0), (4, 4, 2), (-4, -4, -2)\}$ , and hence  $(X \cap V(x_1 - x_2, x_2 - 2x_3)) \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\} = \{(4, 4, 2), (-4, -4, -2)\}$  is a finite set of points, thus an algebraic set and hence a closed subset of  $X$ . If  $\{(4, 4, 2), (-4, -4, -2)\}$  was an open subset of  $X$ , it would be dense, because  $X$  is irreducible. But this is not possible because the complement of  $\{(4, 4, 2), (-4, -4, -2)\}$  in  $X$  is a nonempty open subset of  $X$  that does not intersect  $\{(4, 4, 2), (-4, -4, -2)\}$ . Thus  $(X \cap V(x_1 - x_2, x_2 - 2x_3)) \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$  is not open in  $X$ .

4 points for  $(X \cap V(x_1)) \setminus \{(0, 0, 1)\}$

4 points for  $(X \cap V(x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^3(x_1 - x_2))) \setminus \{(0, 1, 1)\}$

4 points for  $(X \cap V(x_1 - x_2, x_2 - 2x_3)) \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$

(2) Let  $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n(k)$  be two algebraic sets.

(a) (3 points) Define  $I(X)$ . Show that  $I(X \cup Y) = I(X) \cap I(Y)$ .

(b) (5 points) Assume that  $n = 2$ ,  $X = \{(0, t) : t \in k\}$  and  $Y = \{(1, 0)\}$ . Show that  $I(X \cup Y) = I(X)I(Y)$ .

## Solution

- (a)  $I(X)$  is the set of polynomials vanishing at all points in  $X$ . A polynomial  $f$  belongs to  $I(X \cup Y)$  if and only if it vanishes at all points in  $X \cup Y$ , which holds if and only if  $f$  vanishes at all points in  $X$  and at all points in  $Y$ , which is equivalent to  $f \in I(X)$  and  $f \in I(Y)$ .

1 point for definition

1 point for one inclusion

1 point for reverse inclusion

- (b) Let  $k[x, y]$  be the coordinate ring of  $\mathbb{A}^2(k)$ . Then  $I(X) = (x)$  and  $I(Y) = (x - 1, y)$ . By part (a) we know that  $I(X \cup Y) = I(X) \cap I(Y)$ . We know that  $I(X)I(Y) \subseteq I(X) \cap I(Y)$ , as if  $f \in I(X)$  and  $g \in I(Y)$ , then  $fg \in I(X) \cap I(Y)$ . For the reverse inclusion, let  $f \in I(X) \cap I(Y)$ . Since  $f \in I(X)$  we have  $x \mid f$ . Thus  $f = xg$  for some  $g \in k[x, y]$ . Observe that  $x \notin I(Y)$  as  $Y \not\subseteq X$ . Since  $f \in I(Y)$ ,  $I(Y)$  is a prime ideal and  $x \notin I(Y)$ , we get  $g \in I(Y)$ . Thus  $f \in I(X)I(Y)$ .

1 point for computation of ideals  $I(X)$  and/or  $I(Y)$

1 point for  $I(X)I(Y) \subseteq I(X) \cap I(Y)$

1 point for proving  $x \notin I(Y)$

1 point for using  $I(X)$  principal

1 point for using  $I(Y)$  prime

- (3) (12 points) State and prove the projective Nullstellensatz, both the case of the empty set and the case of the nonempty projective algebraic sets (you can use the affine versions without proof).

**Solution** Statement: Let  $I \subseteq k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  be a homogenous ideal, and  $X = V(I) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(k)$ . Then

- (a)  $X = \emptyset$  if and only if  $(x_0, \dots, x_n)^N \subseteq I$  for some  $N \geq 1$ .

- (b) If  $X \neq \emptyset$ , then  $I(X) = \sqrt{I}$ .

Proof: Let  $Y = V(I) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{n+1}(k)$ . We have  $\sqrt{I} = I(Y)$  by the affine Nullstellensatz.

- (a)  $(x_0, \dots, x_n)^N \subseteq I$  holds for some  $N \geq 1$  if and only if  $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \subseteq \sqrt{I} = I(Y)$ , which is equivalent to  $Y \subseteq \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$  in  $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}(k)$ . The latter is equivalent to  $X = \emptyset$ .

- (b) If  $X \neq \emptyset$ , then  $Y$  is the affine cone over  $X$ . We have  $I(X) = I(Y) = \sqrt{I}$ , where the first equality holds because  $X$  is nonempty.

2 points for correct statement (a)

2 points for correct statement (b)

1 point for passing to the radical

1 point for using affine Nullstellensatz

1 point for  $Y \subseteq \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$

1 point for conclusion

1 point for  $Y$  affine cone over  $X$

1 point for  $I(X) = I(Y)$

1 point for use of affine Nullstellensatz

1 point for conclusion or for using  $X \neq \emptyset$ .

- (4) Let  $GL_{n+1}(k)$  be the set of invertible  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ -matrices with entries in  $k$ . For every matrix  $A \in GL_{n+1}(k)$ , let  $\varphi_A : \mathbb{P}^n(k) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(k)$  be the rational map that sends a point with homogeneous coordinates  $(x_0 : \cdots : x_n)$  to the point

represented by the coordinates  $A \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ .

- (a) (2 points) Show that  $\varphi_A$  is a projective change of coordinates.  
 (b) (10 points) Show that there is a bijection between the set of invertible matrices  $A \in GL_{n+1}(k)$  with  $\det A = 1$  and the set of projective changes of coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$ .

- (c) (6 points) Show that composition by  $A \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$  induces an isomorphism

$$\tilde{\varphi}_A : k[x_0, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$$

that sends homogeneous polynomials of degree  $d$  to homogeneous polynomials of degree  $d$  for all  $d \geq 0$ .

- (d) (7 points) Let  $f \in k[x, y, z]$  be a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d > 0$ . Let  $P \in V(f) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2(k)$  be a point of multiplicity  $m_P(f) = d$  for  $f$ . Show that  $V(f) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2(k)$  is a union of lines through  $P$ .

**Solution.**

- (a) Since  $A$  is invertible, it has trivial kernel, and hence,  $\varphi_A$  is a morphism. Write  $A = (a_{i,j})_{0 \leq i,j \leq n}$  with  $a_{i,j} \in k$  for all  $i, j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ . For  $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ , let  $\varphi_{A,i} = \sum_{j=0}^n a_{i,j} x_j$ . Then  $\varphi_A = (\varphi_{A,0} : \dots, \varphi_{A,n})$  is given by homogeneous polynomials of degree 1. Finally  $\varphi_{A,0} : \dots, \varphi_{A,n}$  are linearly independent because  $A$  is invertible. Thus  $\varphi_A$  is a change of coordinates.

1 point for morphism

1 point for correct definition of change of coordinates

- (b) By part (a) there is a function from  $GL_{n+1}(k)$  to the set of changes of coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}^n(k)$  given by  $A \mapsto \varphi_A$ . We first prove injectivity. Two invertible matrices  $A, B$  define the same change of coordinates if and only

if there is a nonzero constant  $\lambda \in k$  such that  $A \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \lambda B \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$  for all

$(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in k^{n+1}$ , i.e., if and only if  $A = \lambda B$ . Thus  $\det A = \lambda^n \det B$ .

We get that  $A$  and  $B$  have both determinant 1 if and only if  $A = B$ .

For surjectivity, let  $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^n(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(k)$  be a change of coordinates. Then there are linearly independent linear polynomials  $\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_n \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  such that  $\varphi = (\varphi_0 : \cdots : \varphi_n)$ . Let  $A$  be the matrix corresponding to the linear map  $k^{n+1} \rightarrow k^{n+1}$  that sends a vector  $(v_0, \dots, v_n) \in k^{n+1}$  to  $(\varphi_0(v_0, \dots, v_n), \dots, \varphi_n(v_0, \dots, v_n))$ . Then  $A$  is invertible as  $\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_n$  are linearly independent. Hence,  $\det A \neq 0$ . Let  $a \in k$  such that  $a^{n+1} = \det A$  (notice that  $a$  exists as  $k$  is algebraically closed). Then  $\frac{1}{a}A$  is an invertible matrix of determinant 1 and  $\varphi_{\frac{1}{a}A} = \varphi_A = \varphi$ .

1 point for setting up the function

- 1 point for correct use of definition of change of coordinates
- 1 point for correct use of definition of homogeneous coordinates
- 1 point for  $A = \lambda B$
- 1 point for  $\det A = \lambda^n \det B$
- 1 point for concluding injectivity
- 1 point for defining  $A$  from  $\varphi$
- 1 point for  $A$  invertible
- 1 point for existence of  $a$
- 1 point for  $\varphi_{\frac{1}{a}A} = \varphi_A = \varphi$

(c) For  $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ , let  $\varphi_i := \tilde{\varphi}_A(x_i)$ , then  $\varphi_i$  is a linear polynomial by definition of  $\tilde{\varphi}_A$ . Then  $\tilde{\varphi}_A$  is the  $k$ -algebra homomorphism defined by  $x_i \mapsto \varphi_i$  for  $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ . Since  $A$  is invertible,  $\tilde{\varphi}_A \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{A^{-1}}$  is the identity on  $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ , Hence  $\varphi_A$  is an isomorphism. Let  $h = \prod_{i=0}^n x_i^{e_i}$  be a monomial of degree  $d \geq 0$ , then  $\tilde{\varphi}_A(h) = \prod_{i=0}^n \varphi_i^{e_i}$ . Since  $\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_n$  are linear,  $\tilde{\varphi}_A(h)$  is a product of  $d$  linear polynomials, and hence a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d$ . Notice that since  $\tilde{\varphi}_A$  is injective,  $\tilde{\varphi}_A(h) = 0$  if and only if  $h = 0$ . Every homogeneous polynomial  $f$  of degree  $d$  is a sum of monomials of degree  $d$ , hence  $\tilde{\varphi}_A(f)$  is a sum of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $d$ , and hence a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d$ .

- 1 point for  $\varphi_i$  linear
- 1 point for  $\tilde{\varphi}_A$  ring homomorphism
- 1 point for  $\tilde{\varphi}_A$  invertible
- 2 points for preserving degree of monomials
- 1 point for preserving degree of polynomials

(d) By part (c) up to a change of coordinates we can assume without loss of generality that  $P = (0 : 0 : 1)$ . Let  $f_*$  be the dehomogenization of  $f$  with respect to  $z$ . Then  $f_*$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $d$  in  $k[x, y]$  and  $m_{(0,0)}(f_*) = m_Q(f) = d$ . Thus  $f_*$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d$ , in particular,  $f_* = f$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $x$  appears in  $f$ . Let  $g$  be the dehomogenization of  $f_*$  with respect to  $y$ . Let  $e = \deg g$ . Then  $e \leq d$ , and  $g \in k[x]$  is a product of  $e$  linear factors  $g_1, \dots, g_e$  because  $k$  is algebraically closed. For  $i \in \{1, \dots, e\}$  let  $f_i$  be the homogenization of  $g_i$  with respect to  $y$ . Then  $f_1, \dots, f_e$  are linear polynomials and  $f = y^{d-e} f_1 \cdots f_e$ . Thus  $V(f)$  is a union of lines through  $P$ :  $V(f_1), \dots, V(f_e)$  and  $V(y)$  if  $d > e$ .

- 1 point for reduction to  $(0 : 0 : 1)$
- 2 points for  $f_*$  homogeneous of degree  $d$
- 1 point for second dehomogenization
- 1 point for  $g$  product of linear factors
- 1 point for  $f_i$  linear
- 1 point for  $f = y^{d-e} f_1 \cdots f_e$

(5) Consider the projective plane curves given by the polynomials

$$f = x_0^6 + x_0 x_1^5 + x_1^2 x_2^2 (2x_1 - 3x_2)^2.$$

(a) (6 points) Compute all the points in the intersection  $V(f) \cap V(x_0)$  and for each point  $P \in V(f) \cap V(x_0)$  compute the multiplicity  $m_P(f)$ .

- (b) (5 points) Compute the intersection number  $I((0 : 1 : 0), f \cap x_0)$ .  
(c) (4 points) For each point  $P \in V(f) \cap V(x_0)$  compute the intersection number  $I(P, f \cap x_0)$ .

**Solution.**

- (a) We solve the system of equations  $f = x_0 = 0$ , which is equivalent to the system of equations  $x_1^2 x_2^2 (2x_1 - 3x_2)^2 = x_0 = 0$ . Hence,

$$V(f) \cap V(x_0) = \{(0 : 0 : 1), (0 : 1 : 0), (0 : 3 : 2)\}.$$

A point  $P \in V(f)$  satisfies  $m_P(f) = 1$  if and only if  $P$  is a nonsingular point. We observe that the partial derivative  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} = 6x_0^5 + x_1^5$  does not vanish at  $(0 : 1 : 0)$  nor at  $(0 : 3 : 2)$ . Hence,  $m_{(0:1:0)}(f) = m_{(0:3:2)}(f) = 1$ . We dehomogenize  $f$  with respect to  $x_2$  to get  $f_* = x_0^6 + x_0 x_1^5 + x_1^2 (2x_1 - 3)^2$  with lowest order term of degree 2. Hence,  $m_{(0:0:1)}(f) = m_{(0,0)} f_* = 2$ .

2 points for computing the intersection points

2 points for the multiplicities at  $(0 : 1 : 0)$  and  $(0 : 3 : 2)$

2 points for the multiplicity at  $(0 : 0 : 1)$ .

- (b) By dehomogenizing with respect to  $x_1$  we get

$$I((0 : 1 : 0), f \cap x_0) = I((0, 0), f' \cap x_0)$$

where  $f' = x_0^6 + x_0 + x_2^2(2 - 3x_2)^2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} I((0, 0), f_* \cap x_0) &= I((0, 0), (x_2^2(2 - 3x_2)^2) \cap x_0) \\ &= m_{(0,0)}(x_2^2(2 - 3x_2)^2) m_{(0,0)}(x_0) = 2, \end{aligned}$$

as  $x_2^2(2 - 3x_2)^2$  and  $x_0$  have no common tangent lines at  $(0, 0)$  and  $V(x_0)$  is nonsingular.

1 point for  $I((0 : 1 : 0), f \cap x_0) = I((0, 0), f' \cap x_0)$

1 point for dehomogenization

3 points to conclude

- (c) The tangent lines at  $(0 : 0 : 1)$  are given by  $x_1^2 = 0$ . Thus  $V(f)$  and  $V(x_0)$  have no common tangent lines at  $(0 : 0 : 1)$ . Thus  $I((0 : 0 : 1), f \cap x_0) = m_{(0:0:1)}(f) m_{(0:0:1)}(x_0) = 2$ , as  $V(x_0)$  is smooth. By Bezout's theorem we know that  $\sum_{P \in V(f) \cap V(x_0)} I(P, f \cap x_0) = \deg f \deg x_0 = 6$ . Hence,

$$I((0 : 3 : 2), f \cap x_0) = 6 - I((0 : 1 : 0), f \cap x_0) - I((0 : 0 : 1), f \cap x_0) = 2.$$

2 points for  $I((0 : 1 : 0), f \cap x_0)$

2 points for the correct application of Bezout's theorem

- (6) Consider the morphism

$$\varphi : \mathbb{A}^1(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3(k), \quad t \mapsto (1 : t^2 : t^3 : t^5),$$

and let  $C$  be the Zariski closure of  $\varphi(\mathbb{A}^1(k))$ .

- (a) (4 points) Show that  $C$  is irreducible.  
(b) (4 points) Show that  $C$  is a curve.  
(c) (7 points) Show that the morphism  $\varphi : \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow C$  is birational and write down an explicit inverse map.  
(d) (3 points) Show that  $\varphi(\mathbb{A}^1(k)) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3(k)$  is not a projective algebraic set.

**Solution.**

- (a) We observe that  $\varphi(\mathbb{A}^1(k))$  is dense in  $C$  by definition of  $C$ . Assume that  $C$  is not irreducible, and write  $C = C_1 \cap C_2$  with  $C_1, C_2$  proper closed subsets of  $C$ . Then  $\varphi(\mathbb{A}^1(k)) \cap C_i \supseteq \varphi(\mathbb{A}^1(k)) \cap (C_i \setminus C_j) \neq \emptyset$  for  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}, i \neq j$ . Then  $\varphi^{-1}(C_1) \cup \varphi^{-1}(C_2) = \mathbb{A}^1(k)$ , and  $\varphi^{-1}(C_1)$  and  $\varphi^{-1}(C_2)$  are both proper closed subsets of  $\mathbb{A}^1(k)$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\mathbb{A}^1(k)$  is irreducible. Thus we conclude that  $C$  is irreducible.

1 point for density

1 point for definition of irreducibility

1 point for  $\varphi(\mathbb{A}^1(k)) \cap C_i \neq \emptyset$

1 point for using continuity

- (b) Since  $C$  is irreducible by (a), it suffices to show that  $C$  has dimension 1. The rational map  $\varphi : \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow C$  is dominant, hence it induces an inclusion of function fields  $k(C) \subseteq k(\mathbb{A}^1(k))$ . Since  $k(\mathbb{A}^1(k)) = k$  has transcendent degree 1 over  $k$ , we deduce that  $k(C)$  has transcendent degree 0 or 1 over  $k$ . If  $k(C)$  had transcendent degree 0 over  $k$ , then  $C$  would be a point, and in particular  $\varphi$  would be a constant map, which is not the case. Thus  $k(C)$  had transcendent degree 1, and  $C$  has dimension 1.

1 point for definition of curve

1 point for inclusion of function fields

1 point for  $\text{trdeg}_k k(C) \in \{0, 1\}$

1 point for excluding  $\text{trdeg}_k k(C) = 0$

- (c) Consider the rational map

$$\psi : C \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1(k), \quad (x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3) \mapsto \frac{x_2}{x_1}.$$

Then  $\psi \circ \varphi$  represents the identity on  $\mathbb{A}^1(k)$  as a rational map, and  $\psi$  induces the inclusion of function fields

$$\tilde{\psi} : k(\mathbb{A}^1(k)) = k(t) \subseteq k(C), \quad t \mapsto \frac{\bar{x}_2}{\bar{x}_1}.$$

It suffices to show that  $\tilde{\psi}$  is surjective. We recall that  $k(C)$  is the set of quotients of classes of homogeneous polynomials in  $k[x_0, \dots, x_3]/I(C)$  with numerator and denominator of the same degree. In particular,  $k(C)$  is generated by  $\frac{\bar{x}_1}{\bar{x}_0}, \frac{\bar{x}_2}{\bar{x}_0}, \frac{\bar{x}_3}{\bar{x}_0}$  over  $k$ . We observe that  $x_0x_3 - x_1x_2$  vanishes on  $\varphi(\mathbb{A}^1(k))$ , and hence on  $C$ . Hence  $\frac{\bar{x}_3}{\bar{x}_0} = \frac{\bar{x}_1\bar{x}_2}{\bar{x}_0\bar{x}_0}$  in  $k(C)$ . Also the polynomial  $x_0x_2^2 - x_1^3$  vanishes on  $\varphi(\mathbb{A}^1(k))$ , and hence on  $C$ . Thus

$$\frac{\bar{x}_1}{\bar{x}_0} = \frac{\bar{x}_1^3}{\bar{x}_0\bar{x}_1^2} = \left(\frac{\bar{x}_2}{\bar{x}_1}\right)^2, \quad \frac{\bar{x}_2}{\bar{x}_0} = \frac{\bar{x}_2^3}{\bar{x}_0\bar{x}_2^2} = \left(\frac{\bar{x}_2}{\bar{x}_1}\right)^3.$$

Thus  $k(C) = k\left(\frac{\bar{x}_2}{\bar{x}_1}\right)$  is the image of  $\tilde{\psi}$ .

1 point for the explicit inverse

1 point for explicit inclusion of function fields

1 point for birational iff same function field, or for definition of birational map

1 point for definition of function field of a projective variety

3 points for surjectivity of  $\tilde{\psi}$ .

- (d) If  $\varphi(\mathbb{A}^1(k))$  were a projective algebraic set, then  $\varphi(\mathbb{A}^1(k))$  would be its own closure. Thus it suffices to show that  $\varphi(\mathbb{A}^1(k)) \neq C$ . We observe that  $C$  is

the closure of the set

$$\left\{ \left( 1 : \frac{x_2^2}{x_1^2} : \frac{x_2^3}{x_1^3} : \frac{x_2^5}{x_1^5} \right) : x_1, x_2 \in k^\times \right\} = \{ (x_1^5 : x_1^3 x_2^2 : x_1^2 x_2^3 : x_2^5) : x_1, x_2 \in k^\times \},$$

and hence  $C$  contains the point  $(0 : 0 : 0 : 1)$  (obtained by taking  $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1$  in the second description). Since  $(0 : 0 : 0 : 1)$  is not in  $\varphi(\mathbb{A}^1(k))$ , we conclude that  $\varphi(\mathbb{A}^1(k))$  is not a projective algebraic set.

1 point for reduction to showing  $\varphi(\mathbb{A}^1(k)) \neq C$ .

2 points for  $(0 : 0 : 0 : 1) \in C$ .

The grade of the retake exam is computed as:  $E = (\text{number of points})/6.8$

Hand-in component of the grade is computed as:  $H = (\text{number of points})/4$

The final grade of the course is computed as:  $\max\{E, 80\%E + 20\%H\}$ . In order to pass the course the value  $E \geq 5.5$  is required.