

Measure and Integration: Solution Final Exam 2021-22

- (1) Consider the measure space $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}([0, 1]), \lambda)$, where $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$ and λ are the restrictions of the Borel σ -algebra and Lebesgue measure to $[0, 1]$. Let $u \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}([0, 1]))$ be a bounded function that is continuous λ almost everywhere. Define $u_n(x) = u\left(\frac{nx}{n+1}\right)$ for $n \geq 1$. Prove that for any $p \in [1, \infty)$ one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_p = \|u\|_p.$$

(1.5 pt)

Proof : By continuity of u , we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x) = u(x)$ λ a.e. Since u is bounded, there exists an $M \geq 0$ such that $|u| \leq M$ and hence $|u_n| \leq M$ for all n . Since $\lambda([0, 1]) = 1$, we see that the constant function $M \in \mathcal{L}^p(\lambda)$ for all $p \geq 1$ implying that $u, u_n \in \mathcal{L}^p(\lambda)$ for all n . By Theorem 13.9, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u\|_p = 0.$$

From the (reverse) triangle inequality, we have $|\|u_n\|_p - \|u\|_p| \leq \|u_n - u\|_p$, thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_p = \|u\|_p.$$

- (2) Consider the measure space $((0, \infty), \mathcal{B}((0, \infty)), \lambda)$, where $\mathcal{B}((0, \infty))$ is the Borel σ -algebra restricted to $(0, \infty)$ and λ is the restriction of Lebesgue measure to $(0, \infty)$. Let $u(x) = \frac{x}{e^x - 1}$ for $x \in (0, \infty)$.

(a) Prove that $u \in \mathcal{L}^1((0, \infty), \lambda)$. (Hint: $e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$) (1.5 pts)

(b) Prove that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(0, \infty)} \frac{n}{e^x - 1} \sin\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) d\lambda(x) = \int_{(0, \infty)} u(x) d\lambda(x)$. (Hint: $|\frac{\sin y}{y}| \leq 1$ for all y and $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin y}{y} = 1$.) (1.5 pts)

Proof (a): From $e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$, we see that $e^x - 1 \geq \frac{x^n}{n!}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Thus, $u(x)\mathbb{I}_{(0,1)}(x) \leq 1$ and $u(x)\mathbb{I}_{[1, \infty)} \leq \frac{6}{x^2}$. This shows that $u(x) = |u(x)| \leq \mathbb{I}_{(0,1)}(x) + \frac{6}{x^2}\mathbb{I}_{[1, \infty)}(x)$. Since the improper Riemann-integral $(R) \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^2}$ exists and is finite, we have by Corollary 12.11

$$\int_{(0, \infty)} u d\lambda \leq 1 + (R) \int_1^{\infty} \frac{6}{x^2} dx = 7 < \infty.$$

Hence, $u \in \mathcal{L}^1((0, \infty), \lambda)$.

Proof (b): Let $u_n(x) = \frac{n}{e^x - 1} \sin\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)$. Using $|\frac{\sin y}{y}| \leq 1$ for all y and $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin y}{y} = 1$, we have $|u_n(x)| \leq \frac{x}{e^x - 1} = u(x)$ for all n and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x) = u(x)$. Since $u \in \mathcal{L}^1((0, \infty), \lambda)$, we have $u_n \in \mathcal{L}^1((0, \infty), \lambda)$ for all $n \geq 1$. So by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(0, \infty)} \frac{n}{e^x - 1} \sin\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) d\lambda(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(0, \infty)} u_n(x) d\lambda(x) = \int_{(0, \infty)} u(x) d\lambda(x).$$

- (3) Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space, and $u \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ satisfies $u^n \in \mathcal{L}(\mu)$ for all $n \geq 1$. Assume $\int u^{2n} d\mu = c$ and $\int u^{2n-1} d\mu = d$ for all $n \geq 1$, where c and d are some constants.

(a) Prove that u takes three distinct values 0, 1 and -1 μ a.e. (Hint: consider the function $u^2(u-1)^2(u+1)^2$.) (1 pt)

- (b) Let $A = \{x \in X : u(x) = 1\}$ and $B = \{x \in X : u(x) = -1\}$. Prove that $c \geq d$ and that $\mu(A) = \frac{c+d}{2}$ and $\mu(B) = \frac{c-d}{2}$. (1.5 pt)

Proof (a): Using the hint, we have

$$\int u^2(u-1)^2(u+1)^2 d\mu = \int (u^6 - 2u^4 + u^2) d\mu = c - 2c + c = 0.$$

By Theorem 11.2(i), we have that $u^2(u-1)^2(u+1)^2 = 0$ μ a.e. so that $u(x) \in \{0, 1, -1\}$ μ a.e.

Proof (b): Note that A and B are pairwise disjoint with $u^2 = \mathbb{1}_{A \cup B} = \mathbb{1}_A + \mathbb{1}_B$ and $u = \mathbb{1}_A - \mathbb{1}_B$ μ a.e. Thus,

$$c = \int u^2 d\mu = \mu(A) + \mu(B),$$

and

$$d = \int u d\mu = \mu(A) - \mu(B).$$

From this we see that $d \leq c$ and that $\mu(A) = \frac{c+d}{2}$ and $\mu(B) = \frac{c-d}{2}$.

- (4) Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ_1) and (Y, \mathcal{B}, ν_1) be σ -finite measure spaces. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu_1)$ and $g \in \mathcal{L}^1(\nu_1)$ are **non-negative**. Define measures μ_2 on \mathcal{A} and ν_2 on \mathcal{B} by

$$\mu_2(A) = \int_A f d\mu_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_2(B) = \int_B g d\nu_1,$$

for $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

- (a) Prove that if $v \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}^+(\mathcal{B})$, then $\int_Y v d\nu_2 = \int_Y vg d\nu_1$. (1.5 pt)

- (b) Prove that for every $D \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ one has

$$(\mu_2 \times \nu_2)(D) = \int_D f(x)g(y) d(\mu_1 \times \nu_1)(x, y).$$

(1.5 pt)

Proof (a) We use the standard argument. We assume first that $v = \mathbb{1}_B$ for some $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Then

$$\int v d\nu_2 = \int \mathbb{1}_B d\nu_2 = \nu_2(B) = \int g\mathbb{1}_B d\nu_1 = \int vg d\nu_1.$$

Assume now that $v = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \mathbb{1}_{B_i}$ is a non-negative simple function in standard form, with $B_i \in \mathcal{B}$. By linearity of the integral and the previous step, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int v d\nu_2 &= \int \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \mathbb{1}_{B_i} d\nu_2 \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \int \mathbb{1}_{B_i} d\nu_2 \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \int g\mathbb{1}_{B_i} d\nu_1 \\ &= \int g \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \mathbb{1}_{B_i} d\nu_1 \\ &= \int gv d\nu_1. \end{aligned}$$

Now assume that $v \geq 0$, then by Theorem 8.8 there exists an increasing sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{E}^+(\mathcal{B})$ with $v = \sup_{n \geq 1} f_n$. By Beppo-Lévi (applied twice) and the above, we have

$$\int v d\nu_2 = \sup_{n \geq 1} \int f_n d\nu_2 = \sup_{n \geq 1} \int gf_n d\nu_1 = \int g \sup_{n \geq 1} f_n d\nu_1 = \int gv d\nu_1.$$

Proof (b) For $D \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ and $y \in Y$, let $D_y = \{x \in X : (x, y) \in D\}$. Note that $D_y \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathbb{1}_D(x, y) = \mathbb{1}_{D_y}(x)$. By Tonelli's Theorem and part (a), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\mu_2 \times \nu_2)(D) &= \int_Y \int_X \mathbb{I}_{D_y}(x) d\mu_2(x) d\nu_2(y) \\ &= \int_Y \left(\int_X \mathbb{I}_{D_y}(x) f(x) d\mu_1(x) \right) d\nu_2(y) \\ &= \int_Y \left(\int_X \mathbb{I}_{D_y}(x) f(x) d\mu_1(x) \right) g(y) d\nu_1(y) \\ &= \int_Y \int_X \mathbb{I}_D(x, y) f(x) g(y) d\mu_1(x) d\nu_1(y) \\ &= \int_{X \times Y} \mathbb{I}_D(x, y) f(x) g(y) d(\mu_1 \times \nu_1)(x, y) \\ &= \int_D f(x) g(y) d(\mu_1 \times \nu_1)(x, y).\end{aligned}$$