

Utrecht University
Mathematical Institute

**Answers, Exam for Introduction to Financial Mathematics,
WISB373**

Wednesday June 30th 2021, 15:15-18:15 o'clock (**3 hours examination**)

1. Apply the Itô-Doebelin formula to $2^{W(t)}$, where $\{W(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is a standard Brownian motion. Is this a martingale? (10 pts.)

Answer 1: With $g(t) = 2^{W(t)}$, we find,

$$dg(t) = \ln 2 \cdot 2^{W(t)} dW(t) + \frac{(\ln 2)^2}{2} 2^{W(t)} dt.$$

Note that g, g_x, g_{xx} exist and are continuous!

Due to the appearance of a dt -term, the process is not a martingale.

2. Let $\{W(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ be a Brownian motion on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, and let $\{\mathcal{F}(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ be its natural filtration, and assume $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(T)$. Consider a stock with price process $\{S(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$, with

$$S(t) = S(0) \exp \left\{ \int_0^t e^{-u} dW(u) + \int_0^t \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} e^{-2u}\right) du \right\}.$$

- (a) Let

$$X(t) = \int_0^t e^{-u} dW(u) + \int_0^t \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} e^{-2u}\right) du$$

and determine the distribution of $X(t)$. (10 pts.)

- (b) Prove that $\{S(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is an Itô process. (10 pts.)

- (c) Let r be a constant interest rate. Find the risk-neutral measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$, equivalent to \mathbb{P} (i.e. $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = 0$ if and only if $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$, $A \in \mathcal{F}$), such that the discounted price process $\{e^{-rt} S(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ is a martingale under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$. (10 pts.)

Proof 2(a) : Let $Y(t) = \int_0^t e^{-u} dW(u)$. Since $Y(t)$ is the Itô integral of a deterministic process, by Theorem 4.4.9, $Y(t)$ is normally distributed with $\mathbb{E}[Y(t)] = 0$ and

$$\text{Var}[Y(t)] = \int_0^t e^{-2u} du = \frac{1}{2}(1 - e^{-2t}).$$

Since

$$X(t) = Y(t) + \int_0^t \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} e^{-2u}\right) du = Y(t) + t + \frac{1}{4}(e^{-2t} - 1),$$

we see that $X(t)$ is normally distributed, with mean

$$\mathbb{E}[X(t)] = t + \frac{1}{4}(e^{-2t} - 1)$$

and variance

$$\text{Var}[X(t)] = \text{Var}[Y(t)] = \frac{1}{2}(1 - e^{-2t}).$$

Proof 2(b) : With

$$X(t) = \int_0^t e^{-u} dW(u) + \int_0^t \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}e^{-2t}\right) dt,$$

we have

$$dX(t) = e^{-t} dW(t) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}e^{-2t}\right) dt,$$

and $dX(t)dX(t) = e^{-2t} dt$. Note that $S(t) = S(0)e^{X(t)}$, so let $f(x) = S(0)e^x$, then $f_x(x) = f_{xx}(x) = f(x)$. By the Itô-Doebelin formula, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} dS(t) &= df(X(t)) = S(t)dX(t) + \frac{1}{2}S(t)dX(t)dX(t) \\ &= S(t) \left(e^{-t} dW(t) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}e^{-2t}\right) dt \right) + \frac{1}{2}S(t)e^{-2t} dt \\ &= S(t)dt + S(t)e^{-t} dW(t). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$S(t) = S(0) + \int_0^t S(u)du + \int_0^t S(u)e^{-u} dW(u),$$

hence $\{S(t) : t \geq 0\}$ in an Itô process.

Proof 2(c) : Define

$$\theta(t) = \frac{1-r}{e^{-t}} = e^t(1-r).$$

Consider the random variable Z , defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \exp \left(- \int_0^T \theta(u) dW(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \theta^2(u) du \right) \\ &= \exp \left(- \int_0^T e^t(1-r) dW(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T e^{2u}(1-r) du \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\int_0^t \theta(u) dW(u)$, $\int_0^t \theta^2(u) du$ and θ are continuous functions on the compact interval $[0, T]$, hence they are all bounded. This implies that $\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \theta^2(u) Z^2(u) du \right] < \infty$. Define the measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ on \mathcal{F} by $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = \int_A Z d\mathbb{P}$ and consider the process $\{\tilde{W}(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ with

$$\tilde{W}(t) = \int_0^t \theta(u) du + W(t) = \int_0^t e^u(1-r) du + W(t) = (1-r)(e^t - 1) + W(t).$$

By Girsanov's Theorem, the process $\{\tilde{W}(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ is a Brownian motion under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ and hence it is a martingale under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$. Using the SDE obtained in part (a), together with the Itô product rule, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(e^{-rt}S(t)) &= e^{-rt}dS(t) - re^{-rt}S(t)dt \\ &= e^{-rt} \left(S(t)dt + S(t)e^{-t}dW(t) \right) - re^{-rt}S(t)dt \\ &= e^{-rt}S(t) \left((1-r)dt + e^{-t}dW(t) \right) \\ &= e^{-rt}S(t) \left(e^{-t}\theta(t)dt + e^{-t}dW(t) \right) \\ &= e^{-t(r+1)}S(t)d\tilde{W}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since $e^{-rt}S(t)$ is an Itô integral, we see that the discounted price process is a martingale under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$.

3. Suppose that $X(t)$ satisfies the following Stochastic Differential Equation (SDE):

$$dX(t) = 0.04X(t)dt + \sigma X(t)dW(t),$$

and $Y(t)$ satisfies:

$$dY(t) = \beta Y(t)dt + 0.1Y(t)dW(t).$$

Parameters β, σ are positive constants and both processes are driven by the same Brownian Motion $W(t)$.

For a given process

$$Z(t) = 2\frac{X(t)}{Y(t)} - \lambda t,$$

with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

- Find the SDE for $Z(t)$. (10 pts.)
- For which values of β and λ is process $Z(t)$ a martingale? (10 pts.)

Answer 3a. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} X(t) &= e^{\sigma W(t) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}t + 0.04t}, \\ dX(t) &= 0.04X(t)dt + \sigma X(t)dW(t). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Y(t) &= e^{0.1W(t) - \frac{0.01}{2}t + \beta t}, \\ dY(t) &= \beta Y(t)dt + 0.1Y(t)dW(t). \end{aligned}$$

Using the expressions for $X(t)$ and $Y(t)$, we get,

$$Z(t) = 2e^{(\sigma - 0.1)W(t) + (0.04 + \frac{0.01}{2} - \beta - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})t} - \lambda t.$$

Answer 3b. A martingale process does not contain a drift term. We have,

$$dZ(t) = (Z + \lambda t)(0.01 + 0.04 - \beta - 0.1\sigma)dt - \lambda dt + (Z + \lambda t)(\sigma - 0.1)dW(t).$$

With β and σ constant, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the necessary conditions for a vanishing drift term are $\lambda = 0$ and

$$0.01 + 0.04 - \beta - 0.1\sigma = 0 \implies \beta = 0.05 - 0.15\sigma.$$

To check this result we employ the Itô's derivative rules for multivariate functions, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} dZ(t) &= 2\left(\frac{dX(t)}{Y(t)} - \frac{X(t)dY(t)}{Y^2(t)} - \frac{dX(t)dY(t)}{Y^2} + \frac{X(t)dY^2(t)}{Y^3}\right) - \lambda dt \\ &= (Z(t) + \lambda t)((0.04 - \beta - 0.1\sigma + 0.01)dt + (\sigma - 0.1)dW(t)) - \lambda dt, \end{aligned}$$

which yields the same constraints. Hence, $\lambda = 0$ and $\beta = 0.05 - 0.1\sigma$.

4. Let $\{(W_1(t), W_2(t)) : t \geq 0\}$ be a 2-dimensional Brownian motion, defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Consider two price processes $\{S_1(t) : t \geq 0\}$ and $\{S_2(t) : t \geq 0\}$ with corresponding SDEs given by

$$\begin{aligned} dS_1(t) &= 2S_1(t)dW_1(t) + 3S_1(t)dW_2(t), \\ dS_2(t) &= S_2(t)dt + S_2(t)dW_1(t). \end{aligned}$$

- (a) Show that $\{S_1(t)S_2(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is a 2-dimensional Itô-process. (10 pts.)
- (b) Consider a finite time T (expiration date), and suppose the interest rate is a constant, i.e. $R(t) = r$ for all $t > 0$. Show that the market price equations have a unique solution, and determine the risk-neutral probability measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ for the process $\{(S_1(t), S_2(t)) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$. (10 pts.)

Proof 4(a) : We apply the Itô product rule, we find

$$d(S_1(t)S_2(t)) = S_1(t)dS_2(t) + S_2(t)dS_1(t) + dS_1(t)dS_2(t).$$

Using

$$dS_1(t) = 2S_1(t)dW_1(t) + 3S_1(t)dW_2(t), \quad dS_2(t) = S_2(t)dt + S_2(t)dW_1(t)$$

and

$$dS_1(t)dS_2(t) = 2S_1(t)S_2(t)dt,$$

we get after simplifying,

$$d(S_1(t)S_2(t)) = 3S_1(t)S_2(t)dt + 3S_1(t)S_2(t)dW_1(t) + 3S_1(t)S_2(t)dW_2(t).$$

Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(t)S_2(t) &= S_1(0)S_2(0) + \int_0^t 3S_1(u)S_2(u)du + \int_0^t 3S_1(u)S_2(u)dW_1(u) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t 3S_1(u)S_2(u)dW_2(u) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\{S_1(t)S_2(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is a two-dimensional Itô process.

Proof 4(b) : Using the notation of the book, we have $\alpha_1 = 0, \sigma_{11} = 2, \sigma_{12} = 3, \alpha_2 = 1, \sigma_{21} = 1, \sigma_{22} = 0$. The market price equations in this case are given by the system,

$$\begin{aligned} -r &= 2\theta_1(t) + 3\theta_2(t) \\ 1 - r &= \theta_1(t). \end{aligned}$$

Solving for $\theta_1(t), \theta_2(t)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1(t) &= 1 - r \\ \theta_2(t) &= \frac{r - 2}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting,

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \exp \left\{ - \int_0^T (\theta_1(t)dW_1(t) + \theta_2(t)dW_2(t)) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T (\theta_1^2(t) + \theta_2^2(t)) dt \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ (r - 1)W_1(T) + \frac{2 - r}{3}W_2(T) - \frac{1}{2} \left((1 - r)^2 + \frac{(r - 2)^2}{9} \right) T \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

the risk-neutral measure is given by $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = \int_A Z d\mathbb{P}$. To check this, we set $\tilde{W}_1(t) = (1 - r)t + W_1(t)$ and $\tilde{W}_2(t) = \frac{r - 2}{3}t + W_2(t)$. By the 2-dimensional Girsanov Theorem, the process $\{(\tilde{W}_1(t), \tilde{W}_2(t)) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ is a 2-dimensional Brownian motion under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$. Rewriting

$e^{-rt}S_1(t), e^{-rt}S_2(t)$ in terms of $\tilde{W}_1(t), \tilde{W}_2(t)$, we get, after applying the Itô product rule,

$$\begin{aligned} d(e^{-rt}S_1(t)) &= e^{-rt}S_1(t)(2d\tilde{W}_1(t) + 3d\tilde{W}_2(t)) \\ d(e^{-rt}S_2(t)) &= e^{-rt}S_2(t)d\tilde{W}_1(t), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the discounted price processes are Itô integrals, and hence martingales under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$.

5. Assume we have a European call and a put option, with the same expiry date $T = 1/4$, i.e., exercise in three months, and strike price $K = 10$ Euro. The current share price is 11 Euro, assuming a constant interest rate $r = 6\%$. Determine an arbitrage opportunity if both options currently have the value $c(0) = p(0) = 2.5$ Euro. (10 pts.)

Answer 5. We form two portfolios using the options, the underlying asset and a cash amount K , with one based on the put $p(t)$ and the other based on the call $c(t)$, as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_1(t) &= p(t) + S(t), \\ \Pi_2(t) &= c(t) + Ke^{-0.06(0.25-t)}. \end{aligned}$$

These portfolios have same value at expiry time T . By the put-call parity, their value should be equal any time prior to the exercise time, as otherwise arbitrage opportunities will appear. In the case of a mismatch in value, one can buy the cheaper portfolio and sell the expensive one. At the expiry time T , one can trade these two portfolios without any cost, hence the initial sell-buy difference is reflected as a profit. Returning to the exercise and looking at the arbitrage opportunity when both options are worth 2.5 €, we assume this takes place at $t < T$. Using the put-call parity relation, we find the following relation for not having an arbitrage opportunity,

$$S(t) = 10e^{-0.06(0.25-t)}.$$

Hence, at $t = 0$, assuming that the option values are 2.5 €, one can benefit from selling portfolio Π_1 and buying Π_2 . As long as $S(t) > 10e^{-0.06(0.25-t)}$, one can follow this strategy, when $S(t) < 10e^{-0.06(0.25-t)}$, one should revert the strategy.