



**EVALUATION FORM**  
**THIRD EVALUATOR**

<b>STUDENT INFORMATION</b>
Name
Student number
Bachelor's degree: major and specialisation (only for LAS and TCS) Master's: programme
Title of final paper
Date of receipt by 3 <sup>rd</sup> evaluator
<b>3<sup>RD</sup> EVALUATOR INFORMATION (not involved in supervising student)</b>
Name
Department
Do you agree with the assessment of the first and second evaluators: Yes / No
If you do not agree with the previous assessment, please state your reason below:
Date and signature:

**EXPLANATION, SEE REVERSE**

|

### **Evaluation Form Third Evaluator**

If a student receives a satisfactory grade of 6.5 or lower for the BA final paper or the MA thesis, then the Marginal Cases Regulations of the Education and Examination Regulations (Art. 5.3) automatically goes into effect. This means that a third evaluator, who is in principle a professor with specialist knowledge of the chosen topic, must conduct a superficial review of the work. This review is based on the first seven points of the evaluation of the paper:

1. the statement of the problem;
2. the academic debate on the issue;
3. theoretical context;
4. method;
5. analysis;
6. conclusion;
7. use of sources & citation of sources;

The third evaluator need only state whether he/she agrees with the final grade given for the final paper/thesis. He/she need not give a new grade for the paper. If the third evaluator's assessment differs from the final grade, then he/she must state the reasons for his/her assessment.

The signed and completed form must be submitted to the student's supervisor/first evaluator. The first evaluator is responsible for communicating the final grade to the E&SA and to the student.